Predicting glacier mass balance by data assimilation from on-ice

cameras

THANKS FOR ATTENDING OUR PICO 🙂

Johannes Landmann, Christophe Ogier, Matthias Huss, Daniel Farinotti A project within "CRAMPON – Cryospheric Monitoring and Prediction Online"

ETH Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW) Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL **Glacier Monitoring Switzerland GLAMOS**

Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology





BERGAMO

Motivation: No water in the hot summer months?



- Countrywide, daily glacier mass balance estimates could help to better manage water resources in future dry spells
- Frequent observations are essential to support mass balance models in giving frequent estimates, but field work is expensive



IDEA: Get "best near-real time estimate" for a few well-observed glaciers and try to extrapolate this estimate into space



Put up cameras to obtain daily observations





- low maintenance
- daily melt estimates
- low cost!





accumulation? • indirect estimate of melt







352 Ice point mass balances acquired in year 2019





Mass balance estimates on observed glaciers



Recipe:

- 1) Predict the point mass balance at all camera locations with an ensemble of four temperature index models
- 2) Update prediction with camera observations using a Particle Filter
- 3) Use the found parameter distribution to calculate the mass balance for the entire glacier



"Percentile Extrapolation" technique



R Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL Glacier Monitoring Switzerland GLAMOS



The vision of a clickable map



Click on a glacier and see its current mass balance with respect to the mass balance climatology

* This map contains a selection of 600 Swiss glaciers



Validation

Based on climatologies produced with GLAMOS data

The method works well in most of the cases



Validation – the devil is in the....calibration on geodetic mass balances?!

Based on climatologies produced from calibration on geodetic mass balances

Parameters are not identifiable, which causes a part of the background climatologies to be bad



Improvements and the way forward

- Current improvement: a stable Particle Filter to make mass balance on camera glaciers operationally available, including various uncertainties (see Appendix)
- In prep.: satellite data to get better spatial information (albedo, snow line) for unobserved glaciers
- In prep.: multiple DEMs to better constrain the calibration on geodetic mass balances for unobserved glaciers
- First camera for 2020 is up on Rhonegletscher: https://holfuy.com/en/camera/1007



Comments/Discussion

- Do you have experience in spatial correlations of mass balance/mass balance model parameters that you can share?
- What could be improved in the extrapolation technique?
- What is your approach to solve the parameter identifiability issue in calibration on geodetic mass balances?

Give us feedback on <u>Google Docs</u> or talk to us in the breakout room!



Preview: a stable, augmented multi-model particle filter

2019-08-01

2019-08-15

Mass Balance and Ensemble Evolution at Station 1008 (Findelgletscher) Prediction always within less than one standard Braithwaite deviation of the Pellicciotti observation PRED POST ÿ Temporally đ 12 adapted 10 del (parameters 10000 **Flexible ensemble** 8000 ready for 6000 4000 đ operational use 2000 ٨ur 1.0 Ready for distribution 9.0 assimilation of Albedo (other parameters,

ETH Laboratory of Hydraulics, Hydrology and Glaciology (VAW) Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research WSL Glacier Monitoring Switzerland GLAMOS

04

2019-07-01

2019-07-15



.....

2019-09-01

2019-09-15

2019-10-01

such as albedo

Preview: comparison of particle filter ensemble prediction errors vs. prediction with mean parameters



