Contribution of lakes in the ORCHIDEE land surface model

ANTHONY BERNUS, CATHERINE OTTLE AND NINA RAOULT

LSCE IPSL PARIS

ANTHONY.BERNUS@LSCE.IPSL.FR



Coupling overview

ORCHIDEE: Land Surface Model resolving the land surface heat budget (one equation with parameters represent the plant function type (PFT) in a tile).

o o o forest Bare Soil

<u>New version</u>: The water inland corresponding to lakes has its own fraction (before it was associated with the bare soil). Flake resolves its heat budget Flake ^[1]: Fresh lake model resolving the lake heat budget



Coupling overview (II)

- The Heat budget for the standard PFT are simulated by ORCHIDEE standard.
- We define severeal generic lakes (See the following slide for definition). Flake resolves one heat budget equation per generic lake.



Flake setting

<u>Main FLake parameters</u>: Depth, Ligth extinction coefficient, Fetch, Albedo The Depth *D* and Ligth extinction coefficient C_{ext} are the most influent ^[2] How to set them ?

• Take a constant for Cext (not global database available for C_{ext})

• Build generic lake (for each tile) to set the depth. We can use two methods:



1/ Choose a limit depth D_{lim} . The first generic lake represents all lakes with D inferior to D_{lim} . Its depth D_1 is the mean depth of all lake corresponding and its fraction their sum. The second one represents all the lake with D superior to Dlim

2/ The main peak of the distribution corresponds to a generic lake with the corresponding depth. The high of the peak determinates the fraction. (**Result not shown here**)

Validation I: simulation and satellite product presentation

Simulation

<u>Duration</u>: 10 years of spinup + 10 year simulation (2000-2009)

<u>Atmospheric forcing</u>: WFDEI_CRU

<u>Time step simulation</u>: 30min

Spatial resolution: 0.5°

Lake fraction calculation from Hydrolake^[3]

Satellite product for validation:

Database: ARClake^[4]

Instruments: ATSR1-2 et AATSR on ENVISAT

Observation Period: 1991-2010

Number of observed lakes: 263

<u>The observed variable</u>: Lake Surface Temperature when the lake is not frozen.

Validation on surface temperature





This Taylor diagramm shows the normalised RMSE of the two simulations with D_{lim} =5m and C_{ext} = 0.5 for A and C_{ext} =3 for B. 56 lakes was selected. Their surface temperature time series was concatenated before to compare it to the observation. The configuration A shows a RMSE a little bit smaller C_{ext} egal to 0.5 (corresponding to a clear lake) fit better the observations for the selected lakes.

The Taylor diagram shows here the impact of D_{lim} . The observed surface temperature is .compared to the generic lake corresponding to the real lake depth. All the configurations almost give the same statistics.

Comparaison **ORCHIDEE** with and without lakes

Seasonal mean differences (on the ten years of simulation) between **ORCHIDEE** without FLake and ORCHIDEE with FLake .

- Each line correspond to a seasonality
- Each column to an experience.
- These differences can go up to 4K.
- Change the D_{lim} have a relatively small impact.



Case $D_{lim} = 10; C_{ext} = 0.5$

Case $D_{lim} = 5$; $C_{ext} = 0.5$

7

-1.5

-10

0.5

- 0.0

Temperature

).0 –0.5

Ы

 $\overline{}$

-1.0

-1.5

Conclusion/Perspective

• The parameter *D_{lim}* has small impact on the surface temperature calculated on the ORCHIDEE tile.

Perspectives:

- Spatialize the *C*_{ext} parameter
- Make the validation of the second method to set up the lake depth in a ORCHIDEE tile.

Thanks you