Glaciological controls on the spatial variability of supraglacial debris thickness in High Mountain Asia

Karla Boxall¹, Ian Willis¹ and Michael McCarthy²

¹Scott Polar Research Institute, University of Cambridge, UK

²Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Switzerland











1. Introduction

11% of glaciers in High Mountain Asia (HMA) are debris-covered (Steiner *et al.*, 2018). Debris-covered glaciers respond differently to clean ice glaciers under the same climatic forcing (Nicholson and Benn, 2013). Beneath thin debris, ablation is enhanced, but beneath debris >~2 cm thick, ablation is inhibited (Østrem, 1959). Thus, the spatial variability of supraglacial debris thickness is significant in controlling the response of debris-covered glaciers to climate change.



2. Research Aims

- 1. Improve the **mapping of debris thickness** at both the glacier and the mountain range scale
- 2. Quantify the **controls on the spatial distribution** of supraglacial debris thickness

3. Methods

L. K-fold cross validation used to determine best empirical relationship between mean melt season surface temperature (derived from Landsat 8 thermal imagery) and *in situ* debris thickness (collected from literature) for: **Figure 1:** Surface temperature (°C) (x axis)/debris thickness (cm) (y axis) relationships for six glaciers (rational curve, linear, Mihalcea *et al.* (2008) relationship, Kraaijenbrink *et al.* (2017) relationship). Solid line = relationship with smallest median error.



- Six individual glaciers (Figure 1)
- The HMA region, by collating and normalising the data (Figure 2)
- Principal Components Analysis (PCA) of glaciological characteristics (slope, aspect, curvature, elevation, velocity) for the six glaciers. PCs regressed with debris thickness (derived for each glacier using local scale relationships).

Figure 2: Surface temperature (°C) (x axis)/debris thickness (cm) (y axis) relationship for all collated data (rational curve, linear, Mihalcea *et al.* (2008) relationship, Kraaijenbrink *et al.* (2017) relationship). Solid line = relationship with smallest median error.



4. Results

 Rational curve is the best relationship for Changri Nup and Baltoro; linear relationship is best for Ngozumpa, Hailuogou and Satopanth (Figure 1). Rational curve (equation below) is the best relationship for the larger HMA region (Figure 2).

dt =

0.558 + (-0.0198*Ts*)

Ts

1st Principal Components are dominated by the positive influence of velocity;
2nd Principal Components are dominated by the positive influence of aspect.
Regressions show that debris thickness consistently has a negative relationship with
PC1 (=debris thickness increases as velocity decreases), but either a positive or a
negative relationship with PC2 (=debris thicker on E or W facing slopes, respectively).

5. Conclusions

- Use of a rational curve or a linear relationship improves estimations of spatial variability of supraglacial debris thickness, on both a glacier and mountain range scale, in comparison to relationships used in studies by Mihalcea *et al.* (2008) and Kraaijenbrink *et al.* (2017).
- Velocity and aspect statistically proven to be important controls on the spatial distribution of supraglacial debris thickness.

6. References

Kraaijenbrink, P.D.A., Bierkens, M.F.P., Lutz, A.F. and Immerzeel, W.W. (2017) Impact of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia's glaciers. *Nature*, *549*(7671), p.257.

Mihalcea, C., Mayer, C., Diolaiuti, G., D'agata, C., Smiraglia, C., Lambrecht, A., Vuillermoz, E. and Tartari, G. (2008) Spatial distribution of debris thickness and melting from remote-sensing and meteorological data, at debris-covered Baltoro glacier, Karakoram, Pakistan. *Annals of Glaciology*, 48, pp.49-57. Nicholson and Benn, 2013

Nicholson, L. and Benn, D.I., 2013. Properties of natural supraglacial debris in relation to modelling sub-debris ice ablation. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(5), pp.490-501.

Østrem, G. (1959) Ice melting under a thin layer of moraine, and the existence of ice cores in moraine ridges. Geografiska Annaler, 41 (4), pp. 228-230

Steiner, J.F., Litt, M., Stigter, E.E., Shea, J., Bierkens, M.F. and Immerzeel, W.W. (2018) The importance of turbulent fluxes in the surface energy balance of a debris-covered glacier in the Himalayas. Frontiers in Earth Science, 6, p.144.

^a Changri Nup Glacier debris thickness data – Giese, A., Arcone, S., Hawley, R., Lewis, G. and Wagnon, P. (2019) The response of

supraglacial debris to elevated, high frequency GPR: Volumetric scatter and interfacial dielectric contrasts interpreted from field and experimental studies. The Cryosphere Discussions, pp.1-33. http://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2019-60

Personal communication with Alexandra Giese, Department of Earth Sciences, Dartmouth

^bLirung Glacier debris thickness data – McCarthy, M., Pritchard, H., Willis, I.A.N. and King, E. (2017) Ground-penetrating radar measurements of debris thickness on Lirung Glacier, Nepal. Journal of Glaciology, 63(239), pp.543-555.

^cNgozumpa Glacier debris thickness data – Nicholson, L. I. and Mertes, J. R. (2017) Thickness estimation of supraglacial debris above ice cliff exposures using a high-resolution digital surface model derived from terrestrial photography, Journal of Glaciology, pp.1-10. Nicholson, L. (2018). Supraglacial debris thickness data from Ngozumpa Glacier, Nepal [Data set]. Zenodo. http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 1451560

^dHailuogou Glacier debris thickness data – Zhang, Y., Fujita, K., Liu, S., Liu, Q. and Nuimura, T. (2011) Distribution of debris thickness and its effects on ice melt at Hailuogou glacier, southeastern Tibetan Plateau, using in situ surveys and ASTER imagery. Journal of Glaciology, 57(206), pp.1147-1157.

Personal communication with Liu Qiao, Institute of Mountain Hazards, Chinese Academy of Sciences

eBaltoro Glacier debris thickness data – Groos, A.R., Mayer, C., Smiraglia, C., Diolaiuti, G. and Lambrecht, A. (2017) A first attempt to model

region-wide glacier surface mass balances in the Karakoram: findings and future challenges. Geografia fisica e dinamica quaternaria, 40(2), pp.137-159.

^fSatopanth Glacier debris thickness data – Shah, S.S., Banerjee, A., Nainwal, H.C. and Shankar, R. (2019) Estimation of the total sub-debris ablation from point-scale ablation data on a debris-covered glacier. Journal of Glaciology, 65(253), pp.759-769.