



Abrupt shrinking of solar corona in late 1990s (and related changes in solar magnetic structure)

Kalevi Mursula¹ kalevi.mursula@oulu.fi

I. Virtanen¹, J. Koskela¹, and I. Tähtinen¹

¹ Space Physics and Astronomy Research Unit, University of Oulu, Finland



Background: Coronal magnetic field and PFSS model

- Coronal magnetic field defines the solar wind and heliospheric magnetic field structure.
- Therefore coronal field is highly important for space weather and space climate studies.
- Coronal magnetic field has not been measured over long time intervals. Need modeling!
- We use the PFSS model, which assumes current–free corona and radial magnetic field at the source surface.
 - Source surface radius r_{ss} is the only free parameter of the PFSS model.
- Field lines reaching the source surface radius are open and continue into the heliosphere
- •

=> The smaller the r_{ss} is, the larger the open flux is.



Fig. Typical coronal magnetic field structure in 1976, as calculated using the PFSS model.



Unsigned coronal flux densities from different observatories



- The mean unsigned coronal magnetic magnetic flux densities (radial magnetic field intensities) derived from the photospheric magnetic field observed by several ground-based and space instruments differ considerably from each other.
- The absolute levels are very different, and mainly reflect the different spatial resolutions of the instruments.
 - Low resolution averages the small-scale magnetic field structures of opposite polarity
- The new, high-resolution instruments (SOLIS and HMI and even MDI) agree with each other quite well.
- However, the longest-running instruments (MWO, WSO) have much lower resolutions.
- => So, in order to have a consistent long-term series of coronal flux densities, one must intercalibrate ("scale") the photospheric fields of the different instruments.





New scaling method: harmonic scaling



- Idea of harmonic scaling:
- Scale the spherical harmonic components between any two datasets, not the individual pixel values.
- For example, axial dipole component g₁₀ for MWO is about 43% larger than for WSO. This ratio remains the same in time, even though the magnitudes vary over the solar cycle.



• Same method can be applied to all harmonics.



- All pairs of datasets with sufficient overlap can be scaled to each other.
- Below: all unscaled datasets of g₁₀ (above) are scaled to WSO level (below).



Virtanen and Mursula, Astron. Astrophys., 604, 2017



Scaled coronal flux densities



- We scale the harmonic coefficients of the photospheric field of the different datasets before calculating the respective coronal flux densities.
- We scale each dataset with respect to all other datasets that have sufficient overlap.
- There is a good agreement in unsigned coronal magnetic flux densities from different instruments.
 - There is a large decreasing trend from 1980s to 2010s.
 - Solar cycle maxima are in the declining phase.
 - There is often a local minimum at solar maxima.

Virtanen and Mursula, <u>Astron. Astrophys., 604</u>, 2017







Coronal flux densities at 1AU vs. measured HMF



The HMF measured at the Earth's orbit (1 AU) shows a clearly weaker long-term decline in 1975-2017 than the coronal flux (for any fixed r_{ss} value).

For a small r_{ss} the coronal flux density can match the recent, small HMF flux but exceeds that in 1980s and 1990s.

For a large r_{ss} the coronal flux density can match the early period, but is too low during the last decades.



Virtanen, Koskela and Mursula, ApJL, 889:L28, 2020



Coronal field at 1AU using optimum r_{ss} for each rotation

Varying r_{ss} , we can find an optimum value of r_{ss} for each rotation separately, for which the coronal flux density at 1AU will be exactly the same as the HMF measured at 1 AU.



Virtanen, Koskela and Mursula, ApJL, 889:L28, 2020

Kalevi Mursula, EGU 2020 Sharing Geoscience Online



Optimum r_{ss}



There is an overall declining trend in optimum r_{ss}.

Most clearly there is stepwise decline in optimum r_{ss} in the late 1990s, from values above 2 to about 1.5. This implies a reduction to less than half volume.

Even thereafter, a weak declining trend in optimum-rss in 2000s (and 2010s?)

MWO and WSO closely agree on these changes.

Kalevi Mursula, EGU 2020 Sharing Geoscience Online



Virtanen, Koskela and Mursula, <u>ApJL, 889:L28</u>, 2020



Coronal field structure in four minima



There was a systematic change in the minimum-time coronal magnetic field structure from 1970s 2000s.

In 1976, coronal closed fields were dominated by long inter-hemispheric field lines, reflecting strong polar fields and a large r_{ss} distance.

In 2008, corona had mostly intrahemispheric field lines, reflecting weaker polar fields and a small r_{ss} distance.

Virtanen, Koskela and Mursula, ApJL, 889:L28, 2020













Coronal shrinking



There is a systematic long-term change in coronal size! Corona is shrinking since 1997. Current coronal volume is less than half of earlier.

Below: Coronal size and field structure for one rotation per year (summer solstice) in 1975-2012 (rows start at 1986, 1997, 2008)







Thank you for your interest !

- Correspondence: kalevi.mursula@oulu.fi
- References
- I. I. Virtanen, and K. Mursula, Photospheric and coronal magnetic fields in six magnetographs: II. Harmonic scaling of field intensities, Astron. Astrophys., 604, A7, 14 pages, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/20173086</u>3, 2017.
- I. Virtanen, and K. Mursula, Photospheric and coronal magnetic fields in six magnetographs III. Photospheric and coronal magnetic fields in 1974 2017, Astron. Astrophys., 626, A67, 12 pages, <u>http://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935713</u>, 2019.
- I. I. Virtanen, J. Koskela, and K. Mursula, Abrupt shrinking of solar corona in the late 1990s, Astrophys. J. Lett., 889:L28, 6 pages, <u>https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab644b</u>, 2020.