

05 Mav 20



### **3D Reconstruction of Volcanic Ash Clouds Using Simulated Satellite Imagery**

Tom Etchells<sup>(1)</sup>, Lucy Berthoud<sup>(1)</sup>, Andrew Calway<sup>(2)</sup>, Matt Watson<sup>(3)</sup>

University of Bristol, Department of Aerospace Engineering, United Kingdom (1)

(2) University of Bristol, Department of Computer Science, United Kingdom

(3) University of Bristol, Department of Earth Sciences, United Kingdom

tom.etchells@bristol.ac.uk





GMPV 9.7 – Multidisciplinary Volcano Monitoring and Imaging

05 May 2020

## Motivation

- Volcanic ash suspended in the atmosphere poses a significant hazard to aviation.
- Knowledge of 3D plume shapes and volumes can aid in constraining ATDM models for forecasting.
- 3D reconstruction has been demonstrated with ground-based and UAS-based platforms.
- Satellite-based platforms would provide a unique perspective, although few current satellites can provide the required multi-angle imagery.
- Recent advances in small satellite technology, formation flying, and constellations could change this in the coming years.



Ash advisory published following the 2011 Grímsvötn eruption. Credit: London VAAC, 24 May 2011





05 May 2020

## Problem Definition

- To assess the feasibility of 3D reconstruction from space, a simulation framework was developed.
- This framework was then used to investigate the reconstruction performance for several imaging scenarios based off a theoretical University of Bristol CubeSat (UoBSat).

## Methodology

- The simulated reconstruction can be split into four stages:
  - 1. Orbital simulation.
  - 2. 'Ground truth' plume input generation.
  - 3. Simulated image generation.
  - 4. 3D reconstruction with simulated images.





GMPV 9.7 – Multidisciplinary Volcano Monitoring and Imaging

05 May 2020

# Methodology

- 1. A simple orbital simulation is used to obtain satellite, and thus image, locations.
- 2. A pre-generated input plume model is used as a 'ground truth', this could be a primitive shape, a rough plume model, or an accurate simulated plume.
- 3. A set of simulated images of the input plume model are generated at the provided image locations, emulating satellite imaging.
- 4. A 3D reconstruction scheme is then applied to the simulated images as if they were real data. This generates a reconstructed plume model to compare with the 'ground truth'.







05 May 2020

## Results

- The simulation framework demonstrated accurate 3D reconstruction of volcanic ash clouds from a space-based platform.
- Reconstruction performance was investigated for various imaging scenarios of a theoretical UoBSat.
- E.g. the number of images required to reach 'diminishing returns' for different resolution imagers (see right).
- Other scenarios could include image frequency, elevation angle bounds, or `off-track' imaging.









05 May 2020

## Future Work

• Future work focuses on improving the simulation framework. This consists of validation of the reconstruction scheme and reducing any limiting simulation assumptions, some examples are given below.

### Validation

• The final reconstruction scheme would ideally be validated against realworld satellite data (potentially from MISR, or Planet Labs imagery).

#### Assumptions

- Current simulated images perfectly identify the plume, real images will include artifacts / meteorological clouds which will obscure the plume.
- The input 'ground truth' plume used so far is not particularly realistic, additional plumes/orientations will make resulting trends more reliable.
- Assumes simultaneous imaging, no time variance off the plume.

