Late Cretaceous subduction-related volcanism in the eastern Sakarya Zone, NE Turkey: petrological and geodynamic constraints

Faruk Aydin<sup>1</sup>, <u>Simge Oğuz Saka</u><sup>1</sup>, Cüneyt Şen<sup>1</sup>, Abdurrahman Dokuz<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Aiglsperger<sup>3</sup>, İbrahim Uysal<sup>1</sup>, Raif Kandemir<sup>4</sup>, Orhan Karsli<sup>4</sup>, Bilal Sarı<sup>5</sup>, Rasim Başer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karadeniz Technical University, Department of Geological Engineering, Trabzon, Turkey
 <sup>2</sup>Gümüşhane University, Department of Geological Engineering, Gümüşhane, Turkey
 <sup>3</sup>Lulea University of Technology, Geosciences and Environmental Engineering, Lulea, Sweden
 <sup>4</sup>Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Department of Geological Engineering, Rize, Turkey
 <sup>5</sup>Dokuz Eylül University, Department of Geological Engineering, İzmir, Turkey



## **1. Introduction**



Tectonomagmatic evolution of the Late Cretaceous volcanic rocks (LCVRs) in the eastern Sakarya Zone (ESZ) or eastern Pontides Magmatic Arc (EPMA), which is one of the major tectonic units of Turkey (Fig. 1), is still controversial due to a lack of systematic sampling, from the bottom to the top of the Upper Cretaceous sequence. The systematic lithological, age and geochemical data from the LCVRs will provide significant information about the specific timing of subductionrelated magmatism and the stages of the northward closure of the northern Neotethys Ocean (NNO).

**Fig. 1. (a)** Regional tectonic setting of Anatolia with main blocks in relation to the Afro-Arabian and Eurasian plates (Okay&Tüysüz, 1999). **(b)** Simplified geological map of the ESZ, NE Turkey, showing the main lithological units with study area.

### 2. General Geology

During the Late Cretaceous, the ESZ is a magmatic arc due to the ongoing convergence between the East European Platform (Laurasia) in the north and Arabian (Gondwana) Platform in the south, resulting in a northward subduction of Neotethys along the southern border of Sakarya Zone (Fig. 1a).

The ESZ is lithologically divided into two subzones; the northern (outer) zone and southern (inner) zone. The northern zone, where the study area (i.e., Artvin) is included, is dominated by volcanic and plutonic rocks, whereas the southern zone is generally represented by carbonates and clastic sedimentary rocks (Fig. 1b).



### 3. Volcanostratigraphy

Series/ Stage	Formation	Lithology	Explanation	Radiometric & Paleontologic Ages
Quaterna	Borçka (Artvin) Karçal		Alluvium Basaltic-andesitic rocks and their volcaniclastites Diorite porphyry, Q-diorite porphyry, andesities docite and their purchastites	(~40-47 Ma) (Aydınçakır & Şen, 2013) (~50 Ma)
Maastrichtian- Paleocene	CANKURTARAN		<ul> <li>Basaltic and basaltic andesitic dyke/sill</li> <li>Sandy and micritic limestones with calciclastic turbidites</li> </ul>	(Aydınçakır, 2014)
nian	OLU		Red biomicritic limestone (Ac6)     Red biomicritic limestone (Ae7)     Revolitic tuff and clavey limestone	?Maastrichtian (this study) Campanian (this study) (75.3±0.1 Ma)
Campa	S2-TIREE		<ul> <li>Massive trachytic stock/dyke (Ab6, <u>Ab9</u>)</li> <li>Rhyolitic lava flow, breccia and tuffs (Ab4)</li> <li>Volcanic breccia-tuff containing andesitic-rhyolitic block (Act Ab 6, Act Ab 4, Act Ab</li> </ul>	(Özdamar, 2016) Ae4b (83.04±0.39 Ma) Early Campanian <i>(this study)</i>
antonian	S2-ÇAĞLAYAN		<ul> <li>Massive basalt to dolerite (Ab1)</li> <li>Basaltic tuff-breccia with clayey limestone and mari alternations</li> <li>Pillow basalt-andesite, hyaloclastite and peperite (Ae10, Ae13, Ae14, Ab2)</li> <li>Red biomicritic limestone (Ae16)</li> </ul>	(83.1±1.5 Ma) (Eyüboğlu et al., 2014) Santonian
ŝ			<ul> <li>Aphyric basaltic-andesitic dyke (Ae3)</li> <li>Clayey limestone, marl and tuff alternations</li> </ul>	(this study) (86.02±0.52 Ma) (Özdamar, 2016)
iacian	KIZILKAYA		<ul> <li>Volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits</li> <li>Ore-bearing, columnar and porphyritic rhyolitic dome and dykes (Ah4-1, <i>Ah5</i>, Ah7)</li> <li>Andesitic stock and dykes (<u>Ah13</u>)</li> </ul>	Ah5 (86.51±0.35 Ma) Late Coniacian (this study)
Con	S1-		<ul> <li>(K-Felds-porphyries)</li> <li>Ore-bearing rhyolitic hyaloclastite and ignimbrites with hematitic/limonitic crystal-vitric tuff/breccia (Ah14, Ah15)</li> </ul>	(88.8±0.9 Ma) (Kandemir et al., 2019)
			Dacitic stock and dykes ( <u>Ae1</u> ) (Quartz-porphyries)     Pl-phyric basaltic-andesitic hyaloclastites (Ah4-2a, Ah4-2b)	(91.1±1.3 Ma) (Eyüboğlu et al., 2014)
Turonian	S1-ÇATAK		<ul> <li>PI-phyric massive basalt (Ah16)</li> </ul>	(92.1±1.2 Ma) (Kandemir et al., 2019)
			<ul> <li>Basaltic-andesitic tuff and breccia (<u>Ah8</u>) with sandstone, siltstone and mari alternations</li> <li>Pillow and amygdaloidal basalts (<u>Ah9</u>)</li> </ul>	

(S2)

Stage

Second

(S1)

First Stage

Upper Cretaceous units in the study area, with no direct contact relationship with the pre-Cretaceous basement rocks, are conformably overlain by the Maastrichtian– Paleocene Cankurtaran Formation, consisting of sandymicritic limestone and calciclastic turbidites and the Eocene Karçal and Kabaköy formations, comprising plutonic and volcanic rocks (Fig. 2).

Based on the volcanostratigraphic and paleontologic studies, zircon U-Pb dating and geochemical data, the LCVRs from the Artvin region in the eastern Sakarya zone (NE Turkey) consist of mafic/basaltic (S1-Çatak and S2-Çağlayan) and felsic/acidic (S1-Kızılkaya and S2-Tirebolu) rock types that occurred in two successive stages: (i) first stage (S1: Turonian to Early Santonian) and (ii) second stage (S2: Late Santonian to Campanian).

**Fig. 2.** General stratigraphic column section of the Late Cretaceous volcano-sedimentary series from the study area.

# 4. Petrography and Mineralogy

In both stages, the S1-Çatak (Fig. 3a, b) and S2-Çağlayan (Fig 3e, f) basaltic rocks contain generally calcic plagioclase and lesser augite crystals, whereas the S1-Kızılkaya (Fig. 3c, d) and S2-Tirebolu (Fig. 3 e, f) felsic (dacitic-rhyolitic) samples commonly contain quartz, sodic plagioclase and K-sanidine phenocrysts.

Data from clinopyroexene thermobarometry point to the S2-Çağlayan basaltic rocks having crystallised at higher temperatures and under deeper crustal conditions (T = 1128  $\pm$  15 °C, P = 6.5  $\pm$  0.7 kbar and D = 19.5  $\pm$  2.1 km) than those of the S1-Çatak basaltic rocks (T = 1073  $\pm$  11 °C, P = 2.2  $\pm$  1.0 kbar, D = 6.6  $\pm$  3.0 km).

**Fig. 3.** Representative photomicrographs illustrating mineral assemblages and textural characteristics of the basaltic (**a-b** for Çatak; **e-f** for Çağlayan) and felsic (**c-d** for Kızılkaya; **g-h** for Tirebolu) volcanic rocks. PI: Plagioclase: Sa: Sanidine, Q: Quartz: Cpx: Clinopyroxene, Fe-Ti: Fe–Ti oxides, Sp: Spherulite, Vs: Vesicular, ChI: Chlorite, Ca: Calcite. The scale bar length is 500 µm.



### 5. Whole-rock Geochemistry & Classification



**Fig. 4.** Classification diagrams of the studied volcanic 1129 rocks. **(a)** Nb/Y versus Zr/Ti (Pearce, 1996), **(b)** Co (ppm) versus Th (ppm) (Hastie et al., 2007).

The Late Cretaceous Artvin volcanic rocks consist of basaltic (S1-Çatak & S2-Çağlayan) and dacitic-rhyolitic (S1-Kızılkaya & S2-Tirebolu) rock types and show a wide compositional spectrum, ranging from tholeiite to calc-alkaline/shoshonite character (Fig. 4).

## **5. Trace Element Geochemistry**



Fig. 5. Primitive mantle-normalized multi-element variation patterns. (c) S1-stage and (c) S2-stage volcanic rock series.

Mafic and felsic samples from both stages (S1 and S2) show enrichment of large ion lithophile (LIL) elements (Rb, Ba, Th, U, K) and high field strenght (HFS) elements (Nb, Ta, Hf, Zr) compared to the primitive mantle although the enrichment of LILE is more pronounced (Figs. 5a, b). All samples are also characterized by negative Nb and, Ta anomalies, which indicate subduction-related metasomatised mantle source.

### **5. REE Geochemistry**



#### Fig. 5. Chondrite-normalized rare earth element patterns. (c) S1-stage and (c) S2-stage volcanic rock series.

In chondrite-normalised rare earth element (REE) diagrams, the felsic samples (S1-Kızılkaya; Fig. 5c and S2-Tirebolu; Fig. 5d) are more enriched in total REE concentrations and are represented by higher  $La_N/Lu_N$  ratios compared to basaltic samples (S1-Çatak; Fig. 5c and S2-Çağlayan; Fig. 5d). The basaltic samples are characterized by lack of Eu anomaly whilst the felsic samples show slight positive Eu anomaly (Figs. 5c, d), supporting the plagioclase fractionation.

### 6. Sr-Nd-Pb Isotope Compositions



The initial Sr-Nd-Pb ratios of the LCVRs show very limited variation and are grouped in a place between the isotopic compositions of depleted MORB (Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalt) mantle (DMM) and subduction fluid/melt (Figs. 6a-d). pointing out a contribution of subduction components. In addition, the Nd isotopic compositions of our basaltic and felsic samples resemble neither pure continental crust nor pure mantle (Fig. 6a) and thus, melting of pure mantle or crustal rocks cannot explain the isotopic composition of our samples. Therefore, believe that our samples were we produced mainly from the mantle melt but the isotopic compositions of the samples require that some crustal melts also incorporated in the generation of our samples.

Fig. 6. Sr-Nd-Pb isotopic diagrams of the studied volcanic rocks.

## 6. Oxygen Isotope Compositions



**Fig. 7.**  $\delta^{18}$ O isotope diagrams of the studied volcanic rocks. (a) WR  ${}_{\epsilon}$ Nd<sub>(i)</sub> vs zircon  $\delta^{18}$ O (‰) (b) Age (Ma) vs zircon  $\delta^{18}$ O (‰)

The average  $\delta^{18}$ O isotope values of the **S1-Kızılkaya** (5.3 ± 0.5‰) and **S2-Tirebolu** (4.9 ± 0.8‰) zircons are quite consistent with average mantle values (5.3 ± 0.3‰) (Fig. 7a). The similar  $\delta^{18}$ O isotopic compositions of the studied mafic and felsic volcanic rocks, like plutons in the region (Fig. 7b), and the relatively high Mg# values (up to 0.4–0.51) of the felsic samples indicate a cogenetic (i.e., mixed) origin.

## 7. Petrogenesis



**Fig. 8. (a)** Nb/Yb versus TiO2/Yb (Pearce, 2008) and **(b)** Sm versus Sm/Yb plots. Mantle array (heavy line) defined by depleted MORB mantle (DMM, McKenzie and O'Nions, 1991) and primitive mantle (PM, Sun and McDonough, 1989). **(c)** SiO2 (wt%) versus Mg# diagram.

Low  $TiO_2/Yb$  (<0.6) and Nb/Yb ratios (<1.8) of the S1-Çatak and S2-Çağlayan basaltic rocks imply that the melt responsible for the formation of these basalts originated from the shallow mantle source (Fig. 8a). The parent magmas of the S1-Çatak and S2-Çağlayan mafic volcanic rocks were derived from underplated basaltic melts that originated by partial melting of metasomatised spinel Iherzolite and spinel-garnet lherzolite, respectively (Fig. 8b). It is also proposed that the compositions of the **S1-Kızılkaya** (mainly dacitic) and **S2-Tirebolu** (rhyolitic to trachytic) felsic rocks were particularly controlled by metasomatised mantle-crust interaction and MASH zone plus shallow crustal fractionation processes (Fig. 8c).

## 8. Conclusions

#### First stage

Second stage



#### Fig. 9. Schematic illustrations for the Late Cretaceous geodynamic evolution of the S1- and S2-stage volcanic rocks in NE Turkey.

- ✤ Late Cretaceous volcanism of the ESZ erupted in two successive stages (~95–75 Ma).
- The successive stages are characterized by mafic (S1) and felsic (S2) volcanic rocks.
- Mafic rocks of both stages were derived from underplated basaltic melts.
- Composition of the felsic rocks was controlled by MASH and crustal processes.
- Volcanism took place during northward subduction of the northern Neotethys Ocean.

Acknowledgement: This study was financially supported by Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey with grant# 112Y365.