Simultaneous Bayesian Estimation of Complex Non-planar Earthquake Fault Geometry and Spatially-variable Slip from Geodetic Data

#### Motivation:

- Faults in nature are complex and often include an en echelon segments or are curved or warped at different spatial scales
- However, they are usually modeled as one or more planar fault segments, leading to slip singularities and unphysical gaps between fault segments
- Better spatial resolution of InSAR/GPS data can help in resolving

#### **Key implementation:**

• Estimate non-planar fault geometry parameterized using a set of polynomials

**Rishabh Dutta**<sup>1</sup>, Sigurjón Jónsson<sup>1</sup>, and Hannes Vasyura-Bathke<sup>1,2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup> King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Saudi Arabia
<sup>2</sup> University of Potsdam, Germany







## Methodology – see appendix



.

Estimated non-planar fault geometry with its 95% confidence interval at Planes A, B and C compared with Slab1.0 and previous studies

EGU2020 – SM2.1 21277 Email: rishabh.dutta@kaust.edu.sa



Non-planar fault geometry estimated simultaneously with slip distribution



### Conclusions

- Along-strike and down-dip variations in fault-dip can be estimated from geodetic data
- In the case of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, we find a fault geometry that is mostly in agreement with the slab interface model, but differs from several previous studies
- The resulting fault geometry shows both significant along-strike and down-dip variations in fault dip
- The maximum slip was found to be about  ${\sim}60$  m and the down-dip variations in dip  $7^o$  to  $22^o$  with depth

#### Resources

• Python codes for SMC sampling: Github repository (<u>https://github.com/rishabhdutta/SMC-python</u>)





# Methodology

Fault model parameters = **Geometrical parameters** + down-dip variations Along-strike variations (ii)  $S_1 < 0$ X [units] Y [units] (i)  $0 < S_1 < 2$ D<sub>2</sub> value - 0.01 Y [units] 0.0575 0.105 (iii)  $S_1 > 2$ **(b)** X [units Y [units] D<sub>1</sub> value (ii) - 7.25 (iii) (a)

Polynomial parameters –  $S_1$ ,  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ , ...

# Slip parameters ↓

Slip values superposed on Triangular dislocation elements (both dip-slip and strike-slip components) **Forward model** – triangular dislocation placed within isotropic elastic halfspace

**Bayesian sampling** – Sequential Monte Carlo technique

# **Bayesian inference:**

- Model parameters relate stochastically to the data (InSAR/GNSS)
- A priori information about model parameters (slip smoothness prior + geometrical prior)
- Modelling and data errors used
- Obtain uncertainties and trade-offs of the estimated model parameters



