

## Impact of tile-drainage on the hydro-sedimentary responses of hydromorphic agricultural soils by tracing water and suspended solids from the field to the catchment scale.

Arthur GAILLOT<sup>1,2</sup>, Célestine DELBART<sup>1</sup>, Pierre Vanhooydonck<sup>1</sup>, Olivier CERDAN<sup>2</sup>, Marc DESMET<sup>1</sup>, Sébastien SALVADOR-BLANES<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> E.A. 6293 GéoHydrosystèmes COntinentaux, University of Tours, Parc de Grandmont, Tours, France <sup>2</sup> Département Risques et Prévention, Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, Orléans, France





### **INTRODUCTION**

# **STUDY SITE**



### Agricultural drained catchment

Area: 2500 ha **Altitude:** 94 – 129 m Mean slope: 0,4 % Land use: 76 % crop field, 17 % forest, 7 % grassland **Drained fields:** > 50 % **Outlet:** Louroux pond



### Hydromorphic soil

Drainage type: subsurface and surface Subsurface drains depth: 120 cm

Case study of the runoff events occurred between the 30<sup>th</sup> January 2020 and the 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2020

- 3.2 mm the 30<sup>th</sup> of January from 7:35 to 10:15 A.M. This event generated no runoff.
- 7.4 mm the 1<sup>st</sup> of February from 4:20 to 7:50 A.M.
- 9.2 mm the 2<sup>nd</sup> of February from 1:15 to 11:00 A.M.

Runoff event of the 1 <sup>st</sup> of February					
Runoff type	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Max flow (L/s)	Lag time		
Subsurface	42,4	1,62	2h33		
Surface	84,9	13,15	1h49		
<b>`</b>					

## **Runoff event of the 2<sup>st</sup> of February**

Runoff type	Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Max flow (L/s)	Lag time
Subsurface	81,9	1,82	2h06
Surface	191,3	16,08	1h55

### Anion concentrations :

- Rainfall samplings presents an anion concentrations under quantification limit.
- Soil is the only anion source so anion concentration of the water increase with the time of residence in the soil. nitrate concentration (mg/L) 20 25 30 35

Photography of one of two sub catchment monitoring station taken during a runoff event



Siphon system connected to the drain for subsurface runoff flow



Venturi channel and sonar for surface

Schema of the siphon system allowing to measure the subsurface runoff flow without *disturbance of its natural hydraulics* 

- Sampling is volume dependent
- > Water and suspended solids analyzed samplings are selected to represented the rising limb of the peak, the peak flow and the falling limb of the peak.



Soil water anion concentrations evolutions as function of depth of sample along the five days of monitoring : (a) chloride, (b) nitrate, (c) sulfate.



anions (Cl<sup>-</sup>,NO<sup>3-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), cations (Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>2+</sup>) and stables isotopes (<sup>18</sup>O and D)



Anions concentrations and subsurface runoff flow evolution corresponding the three rainfall events.



Surface runoff flow generated by the three rainfall events. Anions concentrations of the runoff surface samples are under quantification limit so they are not represented.

Depth (cm)	Chloride	Nitrate	Sulfate
15	+9.9 %	-30.3 %	+4.7 %
30	<b>-43.8</b> %	-34.7 %	<b>-25.2</b> %
45	<b>-35.4</b> %	<b>-62.4</b> %	<b>-19.9</b> %
80	-13.7 %	4.5 %	4.6 %

Variation of concentrations in soil water between the 30<sup>th</sup> January and the 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2020

Suspended solids analysis: grain size (by laser grain sizer) and mineralogy (by DRX)

### 5 **CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES**

Time of residence in the soil of the water

- > The low anion concentrations of surface runoff show that water of surface runoff directly come from the rainfall or its time of residence in soil is shorter than the time needed to get the chemical balance between water and soil.
- > The decrease of anion concentration in the subsurface water during the two runoff events should be explain by a mixing process between soil water and more recent from rainfall water or by a piston effect which transfers old water volume – chemically balanced with the soil – first, follows by the recent water volume. This will be specified using stables isotopes results.
- > Hydrodynamic of the soil will be compared to results of grain size and mineralogy to understand the sediment dynamic.

### References

Gay, A., Cerdan, O., Mardhel, V., Desmet, M., 2016. Application of an index of sediment connectivity in a lowland area. Journal of Soils and Sediments 16: 280-293

Gramlich, A., Stoll, S., Stamm, C., Walter, T., Prasuhn, V., 2018. Effects of artificial land drainage on hydrology, nutrient and pesticide fluxes from agricultural fields – A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 266: 84-99

Montagne, D., Cornu, S., Le Forestier, L., Cousin, I., 2009. Soil Drainage as an Active Agent of Recent Soil Evolution: A Review. Pedosphere 19(1): 1–13. Skaggs, R.W., Brevé, M.A., Gilliam, J.W., 1994. Hydrologic and water quality impacts of agricultural drainage. Critical Reviews in Environmental Sciences and Technology 24: 1-32.

