

# Magnetohydrostatic modelling of the solar atmosphere: Test and application

Xiaoshuai Zhu (朱小帅, <u>zhu@mps.mpg.de</u>) & Thomas Wiegelmann Max-Planck-Institute for Solar System Research, Goettingen, Germany

EGU2020 Sharing Geoscience Online, 07 May 2020



#### • The magnetohydrostatic (MHS) extrapolation

- Test with a Low's special MHS solution
- Test with a radiative MHD simulation
- Apply to a SUNRISE/IMaX vector magnetogram
- Summary

#### MHS extrapolation: Extrapolations overview





Figure 3. Plasma beta model over an active region. The plasma beta as a function of height is shown shaded for open and closed field lines originating between a sunspot of 2500 G and a plage region of 150 G. (The plage curve can also represent older, decaying active regions that have no umbral features.) The *diamond symbols* mark the photospheric and coronal example points used in the text. Various data indicate that  $\beta$  approaches unity at relatively low heights in the mid-corona as explained in the text.

- β < 1 in the upper chromosphere and corona: force-free field
- $\beta \ge 1$  in the lower chromosphere and

photosphere: MHS state

### MHS extrapolation: method



NLFFF optimization[2][3]	MHS optimization[4][5][6]
NLFFF equations: $(\nabla \times B) \times B = 0$ $\nabla \cdot B = 0$	MHS equations: $(\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p - \rho \hat{z} = 0$ $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$
Functional: $L = \int_{V} [B^2(\Omega_a^2 + \Omega_b^2)] dV$	Functional: $L = \int_{V} [B^2(\Omega_a^2 + \Omega_b^2)] dV$
where: $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a} = [(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \boldsymbol{B}) \times \boldsymbol{B}]/B^{2}$	where: $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{a} = [(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}) \times \boldsymbol{B} - \nabla p - \rho \hat{z}]/(B^{2} + p)$
$\boldsymbol{\varOmega_b} = [(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B})\boldsymbol{B}]/B^2$	$\boldsymbol{\Omega_b} = [(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B})\boldsymbol{B}]/(B^2 + p)$
Initial condition: potential field	Initial condition: NLFFF + atmosphere
minimize L( <b>B</b> )	minimize $L(\mathbf{B}, p, \rho)$

minimize  $L(B, p, \rho)$   $p = Q^2$ ,  $\rho = R^2$ minimize L(B, Q, R)

## MHS extrapolation



Bottom boundary condition for plasma:

- Pressure boundary:  $p + \frac{B_z^2}{2} = p_{quiet}$ , where  $p_{quiet}$  is the gas pressure in the quiet region
- Density boundary:  $\rho = p/T$  (uniform temperature)

Numerical implementation

- 1. Calculating a NLFFF by using vector magnetogram
- 2. Creating a gravity stratified atmosphere based on the boundary conditions of plasma.
- 3. Iterating  $(\vec{B}, Q, R)$  until *L* reaches minimum



- The magnetohydrostatic (MHS) extrapolation
- Test with a Low's special MHS solution
- Test with a radiative MHD simulation
- Apply to a SUNRISE/IMaX vector magnetogram
- Summary





Low's MHS solution [7] by assuming  $\nabla \times B = \alpha B + f(z)\nabla B_z \times \hat{z}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a constant and  $f(z) = ae^{-\kappa z}$ 



White: reference model Yellow: MHS extrapolation Blue: NLFFF extrapolation

- Reconstructed MHS field lines from our code agree with the reference solution better than NLFFF lines
  - The main plasma structure is recovered by the MHS extrapolation



- The magnetohydrostatic (MHS) extrapolation
- Test with a Low's special MHS solution
- Test with a radiative MHD simulation
- Apply to a SUNRISE/IMaX vector magnetogram
- Summary

## Test2: a flare simulation



- Radiative MHD simulation [8] with MURaM code [9][10]
- snapshot selected: 8 minutes after the flare peak



Cheung et al. 2019

Selected magnetogram

Selected field lines

## Test2: the plasma solution



#### Pressure

z=0 (Mm)

z=0.32 (Mm)

z=0.64 (Mm)

z=0.96 (Mm)



- Extrapolation dimensions: 512\*256\*128
- Grid spacing: 192 km transversely, 64 km vertically

- Weak pressure in the strong field region
- Spiral structure in the emerged spot
- Better reconstruction in lower layers

## Test with an RMHD simulation: the plasma results MPS

Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung



- Main plasma structures below 1 Mm can be recovered
- Above active regions the magnetic field is strong and consequently the pressure and density are low



# Test with an RMHD simulation: magnetic field lines

Max-Planck-Institut für Sonnensystemforschung



- Selected field lines with the same seed points
- The twisted magnetic flux rope is well reconstructed by the MHS extrapolation
- The magnetogram for NLFFF extrapolation is preprocessed to remove the net Lorentz force and torque



- The magnetohydrostatic (MHS) extrapolation
- Test with a Low's special MHS solution
- Test with a radiative MHD simulation
- Apply to a SUNRISE/IMaX vector magnetogram
- Summary

## Apply to SUNRISE/IMaX: Data

SUNRISE: A balloon-borne observatory[11]

IMaX: Spectral line: Fe I 5250.2Å, sampled at 8 wavelength positions

IMaX data: Scale per pixel 0". 05446  $\approx$  40 km, FOV: 936  $\times$  936 pixel<sup>2</sup> (37  $\times$  37 Mm<sup>2</sup>)



IMaX magnetogram











Weak plasma in the active region because of the strong magnetic field

Fibril-like plasma pattern traces magnetic field lines due to the low plasma  $\beta$ (seen from different perspectives)



- Bright points are clearly seen
  in the inter-granular lanes in
  panel (c), which are typically
  regarded as nearly vertical
  slender flux tubes with kG
  magnetic fields
- Regions of high gas pressure and strong electric current coincide, most of which are located near the edges of magnetic flux tubes







- Field lines trace chromospheirc fibrils well
- Angel between magnetic vector and fibril orientation: θ
- For selected 26 fibrils:

 $ar{ heta}_{mhs} pprox 11.8^{\circ}$  $ar{ heta}_{nlff} pprox 15.7^{\circ}$ 



- The magnetohydrostatic (MHS) extrapolation
- Test with a Low's special MHS solution
- Test with a radiative MHD simulation
- Apply to a SUNRISE/IMaX vector magnetogram

#### <u>Summary</u>

#### Summary



- 1. An optimization-type MHS extrapolation is developed, tested and applied to real data.
- Tests show that the MHS extrapolation is able to (1) recover main structures of plasma below 1Mm, (2) generate a more precise magnetic field than that by the NLFFF extrapolation.
- 3. Application shows (1) weak plasma in strong field region, (2) fibril-like plasma pattern trances the magnetic field, (3) photospheric high pressure and strong current regions around the magnetic flux tube, (4) magnetic vectors obtained by the MHS extrapolation are more aligned with chromospheric fibrils.

#### Reference



- [1] Gary, G. A. 2001, Sol. Phys., 203, 71
- [2] Wheatland, M. S., Sturrock, P. A., & Roumeliotis, G. 2000, ApJ, 540, 1150
- [3] Wiegelmann, T. 2004, SoPh, 219, 87
- [4] Zhu, X., & Wiegelmann, T. 2018, ApJ, 866, 130
- [5] Zhu, X. & Wiegelmann, T. 2019, A&A, 631, A162
- [6] Zhu, X., Wiegelmann, T., & Solanki, S. 2020, A&A, submitted
- [7] Low, B. C. 1991, ApJ, 370, 427
- [8] Cheung, M. C. M., Rempel, M., Chintzoglou, G., et al. 2019, Nat. Astron., 3, 160
- [9] Vögler, A., Shelyag, S., Schüssler, M., et al. 2005, A&A, 429, 335
- [10] Rempel, M. 2017, ApJ, 834, 10
- [11] Solanki, S. K., Riethmüller, T. L., Barthol, P., et al. 2017, ApJs, 229, 2



## Thank you for your attention!