D3289 | EGU2020-3458

EGU2020: Sharing Geoscience Online 2020.05.04–08

## Seamless Detection of Cutoff Low and Preexisting Trough

#### Meiji Honda<sup>1</sup>, Satoru Kasuga<sup>2</sup>, Jinro Ukita<sup>1</sup>, Shozo Yamane<sup>3</sup>, Hiroaki Kawase<sup>4</sup>, Akira Yamazaki<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan.
<sup>2</sup>Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Niigata, Japan.
<sup>3</sup>Department of Environmental Systems Science, Doshisha University, Kyotanabe, Japan.
<sup>4</sup>Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba, Japan.
<sup>5</sup>Application Laboratory, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, Japan.



#### <u>Cutoff low</u> and Tornado event on 15 Apr 2015 (=upper tropospheric low)

#### 500hPa height [m] & temp. [°C] 15 JST(=UTC+9h)



- Cutoff lows last up to 4 days (Nieto et al. 2015).
- Cutoff lows often accompany meso-scale disturbances, e.g. tornadoes.
- But, the lifecycles of such cutoff lows have never studied.

#### Problems

- "Intensity" of the cutoff lows has not been discussed enough.
- There is no such method that capture both cutoff lows and troughs.

#### Schematic life cycle and previous detectoin methods

Cutoff lows are developed from each "preexisting trough" (Palmén and Newton 1969)



Height minimum based methods • The

preTR  $\times$ , intensity  $\times$ 

Vorticity maximum based methods preTRO, intensityO

- The most conventional methods (e.g. Nieto et al. 2005)
- detect COLs with longer duration including preTR (~8 days; Pinheiro et al. 2017)
- objective distinction for COL and preTR (Fuenzalida et al. 2005; Murray and Simmonds 1991)
- but, noisy detections because of derivative operations.

Performance goals for a new method to detect cutoff lows (COL) and preexisting troughs (preTR)

- It can seamlessly detect location of both.
- It can seamlessly evaluate intensity of both.
- It can objectively distinct both.
- It requires single variable (geopotential height).
- <u>It requires no derivative operation</u>.

Data: JRA-55 Reanalysis (Kobayashi et al. 2015; Harada et al., 2016) 200hPa geopotential height Z [m] 6-hourly, 1.25°x1.25° (~110kmx110km)

## What is "intensity" of COL?

"intensity" = height difference?  $\triangle$  anomaly from climatology or zonal mean  $\triangle$  difference of closed contour and its interior bottom

To minimize the subjectivity, we use a horizontal profile of height.





In the case  $x_b$  is <u>NOT</u> known { functionalize  $S_r$  with x and r It will peak at  $x_b$ expand  $S_r$  for east and west if depression is symmetry.







200hPa height Z (contours),  $F_r$  (shades), local Z min. ( $\checkmark$ )

## Algorithm to obtain $S_o$ , $r_o$ , $x_b$ from Z of grided data

② Make an array of local max. of  $F_r$  with respect to r at all grids  $(F_o)$ .



- (3) Search spatial local max. of  $F_o$  and obtain params. below
- $(x_b, y_b)$ : location of the bottom
  - $S_o$ : optimal slope (=32.7 m (100 km)<sup>-1</sup>)
  - $r_o$ : optimal radius (=1100km)

\* Note that these params. are estimated values for an isotropic depression.

 $F_o(x, y) \equiv \max_r(F_r(x, y))$ 





40

- 35

30

<sup>25</sup> (my 001) 15 (100 km)

E 10

5

0

#### Definition of the local background slope $S_b$

 $S_b(\theta)$  is numerically defined as the magnitude (direction) of gradient of a surface paralleling to both m and n.



 $S_b = 22.25 \text{ m} (100 \text{ km})^{-1}$   $\theta = -1.41 \text{ rad} (-83.23^{\circ} \text{ from the east})$ 

Examples of  $S_o$  (colored dots),  $S_b$  (colored arrows),  $r_o$  (green circle)



200hPa height Z (contours),  $F_o$  (shades), local Z min. ( $\bigtriangledown$ )



 Successfully represent the lifecycle from preTR to COL with S<sub>o</sub> and achieve 18-hour (3-timestep) earlier detections.

### **Remained problems**

#### *F*<sub>o</sub> m/100 km & Z m 200hPa 1200 UTC 11 Apr 2015



What  $S_o$ ,  $r_o$ ,  $S_b$  mean for preTR?

*F*<sub>o</sub> m/100 km & Z m 200hPa 1200 UTC 13 Apr 2015



Why height min. and  $F_o$  max. are displaced?

=> verify with "ideal height fields"

Ideal height fields  $Z^*$  and  $F_r$ ,  $F_o$  fields (-1.0–1.0; 201x201 grids)



•  $F_o$  is independent of BG and evaluating the amplitude of the pure vortices (a).

· i.e. preTRs can be detected as weak vortices behind BG ("seamless detection").

Ideal height fields  $Z^*$  and  $F_r$ ,  $F_o$  fields (-1.0–1.0; 201x201 grids)



•  $F_o$  is independent of BG and evaluating the amplitude of the pure vortices (a).

· i.e. preTRs can be detected as weak vortices behind BG ("seamless detection").

# The displacement of $F_o$ max. and Z min. $(r_l)$ and a ratio of BG slope $(S_b)$ and optimal slope $(S_o)$



SR=1.34 may be useful for an instant distinction between COL and preTR.

## Summary

We introduced the new method to detect cutoff lows (COL) and preexisting troughs (preTR) based on the optimal slope  $(S_o)$ .

- $\checkmark$  It can seamlessly detect location of both.
- $\checkmark~$  It can seamlessly evaluate intensity of both.
- $\checkmark~$  It can objectively distinct both.
- ✓ It requires single variable (geopotential height).
- $\checkmark~$  It requires no derivative operation.
- and...
- It can provide local BG slope  $(S_b)$  for each depression. \*novel point
- The slope ratio (SR=  $S_b/S_o$ ) would be useful to distinguish them.

## Future works

- clairfy climatological features of COLs accompanying tornadoes
- understand the physics of such COLs
- contribute to reduce risks of the next strongest tornadoes...