Potential of LiDAR for species richness prediction at Mount Kilimanjaro

Alice Ziegler¹, Insa Otte¹, Roland Brandl², Marcell K. Peters³, Tim Appelhans¹, Christina Behler⁴, Alice Classen³, Florian Detsch¹, Jürgen Deckert⁵, Connal D. Eardley^{6,7}, Andreas Ensslin⁸, Stefan W. Ferger⁹, Sara B. Frederiksen^{2,10}, Friederike Gebert³, Michael Haas², Maria Helbig-Bonitz⁴, Andreas Hemp¹¹, Claudia Hemp³, Victor Kakengi¹², William J. Kindeketa^{3,13}, Antonia Mayr³, Hanna Meyer¹⁴, Ephraim Mwangomo^{1,15}, Christine Ngereza^{2,16}, Marion Renner⁸, Juliane Röder², Gemma Rutten⁸, David Schellenberger Costa¹⁷, Matthias Schleuning⁹, Maximilian G.R. Vollstädt⁹, Ralph S. Peters¹⁸, Axel Ssymank¹⁹, Joseph Tardanico³, Stephan Wöllauer¹, Giulia Zancolli^{3,20}, Jie Zhang³, Ingolf Steffan-Dewenter³, Markus Fischer⁸, Katrin Böhning-Gaese^{9,21}, Elisabeth K.V. Kalko^{4,22}, Michael Kleyer¹⁷, Matthias Schleuning⁹, Marco Tschapka^{4,22}, Thomas Nauss¹

¹Environmental Informatics, Faculty of Geography, University of Marburg, Deutschhausstraße 12, 35032 Marburg, Germany; ³Department of Animal Ecology and Tropical Biology, Biocenter, University of Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg, Germany; ⁴Institute for Evolutionary Ecology and Conservation Genomics, University of Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89069, Ulm, Germany; ⁵Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science, Invalidenstraße 43, Berlin 10115, Germany; ⁶Agricultural Research Council - Plant Protection Research: Plant Health and Protection, Private Bag X134, Queenswood, Pretoria 0121, South Africa; ⁷School of Life Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, 3013 Bern, Switzerland; ⁹Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre (BiK-F), Senckenberganlage 25, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany; ¹⁰Zoological Museum, Natural History Museum of Denmark; ¹¹Department of Plant Systematics, University of Bayreuth, Universitätsstraße 30, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany; ¹²Tanzania Wildlife Research Institute, P.O. Box 661, Arusha, Tanzania; ¹³Tanzania Commission for Science and Technology, Department of Life Sciences, Ally Hassan Mwinyi Road, P.O. Box 4302, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; ¹⁴Institute for Geoinformatics, University of Muenster, Heisenbergstraße 2, 48149 Münster, ¹⁵ Mount Kilimanjaro National Park, PO Box 96, Marangu, Moshi, Tanzania, ¹⁶National Museum of Tanzania, Shaaban Robert Street, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; ¹⁷Institute of Biology and Environmental Sciences, University Oldenburg, Cermany; ¹⁸Zoological Research Museum Alexander Koenig, Department Arthropoda, Adenauerallee 160, 53113 Bonn, Germany; ¹⁹Falkenweg 6, 53343 Wachtberg, Germany; ²⁰Molecular Ecology and Fisheries Genetics Lab, School of Biological Sciences, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor LL57 2UW, UK. ²¹Institute for Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, Goethe University, Goethe University, Goethe University, Goethe University, Goethe University, Goethe University, Bangor LL57 2UW, UK. ²¹Institute for Ecology, Evolution and Diversity, Goethe University, Goethe University Institute, PO Box 0843-03092, Balboa Ancón, Republica de Panamá

Abstract

This study aims at predicting animal species richness (17 taxa and four trophic levels collected across 59 sites) for the Mount Kilimanjaro (Tanzania) using structural variables of the vegetation derived from LiDAR data (90 variables). The data were collected along an elevation gradient of about 4000 meters within the DFG-Research Unit 1246 KiLi. This



Methods

The prediction is accomplished in three consecutive steps: Species richness of each taxon and trophic level is estimated using Partial Least Square Regression (PLSR) with only elevation and its square as independent variables.

(2) The residuals of this model are then predicted using the LiDAR-derived variables and PLSR.

(3) This model is subsequently compared to a model that uses the same LiDAR derived variables and PLSR to predict species richness directly rather than its residuals. Due to this procedure, it is possible to analyze the impact of elevation versus structure for each taxon. A stratified cross validation approach is used to ensure robust modeling results.

study aims at assessing the predictive potential of structural variables versus elevation in mountainous areas.





Study area: southern slopes Mt. Kilimanjaro (Tanzania)

Results and Discussion

- taxa: 14 of 17 perform best with elevation model
- trophic levels: 2 of 4 perform best with elevation model
- general: elevation superimposes structural effects
- tendency of higher trophic levels to be less dependant on structure
- models in mountainous areas need special precautions to avoid spurious correlation effects of elevation need to be considered for ecological variables

Three different modelling approaches with partial least squares regression (plsr)

Model performance (notches serve as indicators for significance)