Lisa Johann FU Berlin, Geophysik



Large-Scale Migration Patterns of Wastewater-Induced Earthquakes in the Central U.S

Lisa Johann & Serge A. Shapiro Freie Universitaet Berlin, FR Geophysik

The material shown in this presentation is part of a work submitted to the Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America. © Seismological Society of America, reprinted with permission, submitted for publication to Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, article Understanding vectorial migration patterns of wastewater induced earthquakes in the U.S./JohannShapiro/2020.

EGU 2020: Migration Patterns of Seismicity in Kansas, U.S.

Observed Seismicity Patterns





1. Migration of seismically active zone towards the north-east

Peterie et al., 2018

Observed Seismicity Patterns





2. Earthquakes occur far from disposal wells

Peterie et al., 2018

EGU 2020: Migration Patterns of Seismicity in Kansas, U.S.





What is the spatio-temporal relation between the large-scale wastewater disposal and earthquake locations?

Can we numerical resolve and explain the observed features?

Which mechanisms and parameters control the seismicity and its propagation towards the NE?

Cross-Correlation



Study area: 37.0°N to 38.0°N, 96.7°W to 99.5°W & time interval: 01/2015 - 06/2017

Wastewater disposal data: class I wells (Ansari et al., 2019) & class II wells (by Kansas Corporation Commission)

Earthquake catalog: relocated events by Peterie et al., 2018 \rightarrow remove fore- & aftershocks by declustering (Urhammer, 1986) \rightarrow use earthquakes with magnitude $M \ge M_c$

EGU 2020: Migration Patterns of Seismicity in Kansas, U.S.

What is the spatio-temporal relation between the large-scale wastewater disposal and

earthquake locations?

Cross-Correlation: Method

- 1. gridding of disposal volumes and earthquakes on 0.1° x 0.1° grids
- 2. correlate grids for one month of injection data $Q(t_i)$ (middle panels) with grids of earthquake data $S(t_j)$ (left panels) for months $t_j \ge t_i$
- 3. for each correlation month t_j : select cells with a correlation coefficient $CC_{ij} \ge 0.8CC_{ij,max}$ and color-code them according to the time delay $\Delta t = t_i - t_i$ (right panel)





Cross-Correlation: Results



Earthquakes preferably occur towards the NE of disposal wells

© Seismological Society of America, reprinted with permission, submitted for publication to Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, article Understanding vectorial migration patterns of wastewater induced earthquakes in the U.S./JohannShapiro/2020.

Numerical Model

Can we numerical resolve and explain the observed features?

Which mechanisms and parameters control the seismicity and its propagation towards the NE?



© Seismological Society of America, reprinted with permission, submitted for publication to Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, article Understanding vectorial migration patterns of wastewater induced earthquakes in the U.S./ JohannShapiro/2020.

Study area: large volume injection area across northern Oklahoma and southern Kansas **Wastewater disposal data:** 01/2008 - 12/2017, class II wells (by Kansas and Oklahoma Corporation Commissions)

EGU 2020: Migration Patterns of Seismicity in Kansas, U.S.

Numerical Model: Method

- 2D plain strain model in Comsol Multiphysics®, solving for pressure and poroelastic stress changes
- model time 01/2008 to 01/2020
- (horizontal) x-axis aligned with the approximated direction of main fault structures (e.g. Schoenball & Ellsworth, 2017) and the direction of earthquake migration (from cross-correlation)
- (vertical) *y*-axis aligned with depth below the surface
- injection source at 1.25 km depth, defined by cumulative monthly disposal rate in the large volume injection area
- basement permeabilities: 0.1 mD, 1mD, 10 mD (e.g. Rothert & Shapiro, 2007)
- Arbuckle permeability: 100 mD





Numerical Model: **Pressure Changes**

Observation (Ansari et al., 2019):

Distinct pore-pressure increase in the Arbuckle formation at several class I disposal wells.

Mean pressure increase between 01/2008 and 12/2017 from 0.2 to 0.5 MPa (bold black line).

Numerical Model:

Resolved the pressure increase throughout the horizontal extend of the FEM (different colours)

© Seismological Society of America, reprinted with permission, submitted for publication to Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, article Understanding vectorial migration patterns of wastewater induced earthquakes in the U.S./JohannShapiro/2020.





Numerical Model: Stress Changes

Calculate poroelastic stress changes (e.g. Shapiro, 2015):

$$\Delta FCS = 0.5\Delta\sigma_d - \sin\varphi \left(\Delta\sigma_m - \Delta p\right)$$

A preferably oriented, critically stressed fault is destabilised, if: $\Delta FCS \ge 0$

Faults exist which have critical fault strength *C*, uniformly distributed between C_{min} and C_{max} . Shear slip along these faults occurs, if:

$$C_{min} \le \Delta FCS \le C_{max}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta\sigma_1 > \Delta\sigma_2 > \Delta\sigma_3 & \text{stress changes in principal} \\ \Delta\sigma_d = \Delta\sigma_1 - \Delta\sigma_3 & \text{differential stress change} \\ \Delta\sigma_m = 0.5 \left(\Delta\sigma_1 + \Delta\sigma_3\right) & \text{mean stress change} \end{array}$

EGU 2020: Migration Patterns of Seismicity in Kansas, U.S.

(e.g. Rothert & Shapiro, 2007)



Numerical Model: Stress Changes

The spatial integral of the stress change rate:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \Delta F CS dz dx$$

x₀, x₁: horizontal model extend
y₀, y₁: seismogenic depth
Only consider values

 $\Delta FCS \ge C_{min} = 0.01 \text{ MPa}$ $\Delta FCS \le C_{max} = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$

This integral is proportional to the rate of induced events!



© Seismological Society of America, reprinted with permission, submitted for publication to Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America, article Understanding vectorial migration patterns of wastewater induced earthquakes in the U.S./JohannShapiro/2020.

Conclusions



- Time-dependent 2D cross-correlation resolved the spatio-temporal relation between wastewater disposal wells and earthquakes in Kansas
 - directional pattern of earthquakes with respect to wells towards eastnortheast
 - probably caused by a large-scale permeability anisotropy in the seismogenic basement due to pre-existing faults
- FEM demonstrates that the earthquake occurrences are most probably due to pore-pressure and poroelastic stress changes in the Arbuckle (injection) formation and the crystalline (seismogenic) basement
- The spatial integral of the stress change rate is a valuable tool to reconstruct the observed seismicity rate
- Earthquakes are probable also in times of declining disposal volumes!

References



Peterie, S. L., Miller, R. D., Intfen, J. W., and Gonzales, J. B. (2018). *Earthquakes in Kansas Induced by Extremely Far-Field Pressure Diffusion*. Geophys. Res. Lett., 45(3):1395–1401.

Ansari, E., Bidgoli, T. S., and Hollenbach, A. (2019). *Accelerated fill-up of the Arbuckle Group aquifer and links to U.S. midcontinent seismicity*. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth.

Urhammer, R. A. (1986). *Characteristics of northern and central California seismicity*. Earthquake Notes, 57(1):21.

Schoenball, M. and Ellsworth, W. L. (2017). *A Systematic Assessment of the Spatiotemporal Evolution of Fault Activation Through Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma and Southern Kansas.* Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 122(12):10189–10206.

Shapiro, S. A. (2015). *Fluid-Induced Seismicity*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.

Rothert, E. and Shapiro, S. A. (2007). *Statistics of fracture strength and fluid-induced microseismicity*. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 112.