





Sara Santamaria-Aguilar & Thomas Wahl

Goal & Motivation



- Ensemble nearshore wave projections are required to assess uncertainties in future wave climate
 - Ensemble wave projections are available offshore
- Problem
 Wave downscaling from offshore to nearshore using numerical models requires high computational capacity -> Wave propagation involves non-linear processes

- Can machine learning models be an efficient tool for downscaling wave projections?
 - <u>Condition</u>: A representative set of nearshore and offshore wave data is needed in order to train the model

- We test the performance of 4 models on representing the <u>links between offshore & nearshore</u> <u>waves</u>:
 - Multi Linear Regression (MLR)
 - Random Forest (RF)
 - Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines (MARS)
 - Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)

Solution?

Methods

Machine Learning Models



Inputs & Outputs: Offshore & Nearshore wave parameters

X(Hs,Tm,Tp,Dir)_{OFFSHORE} Y(Hs,Tm,Tp,Dir)_{NEARSHORE}

Data: Wave Information Studies Hindcast (WIS) of US Army Corps of Engineers

Hourly Sea States from 1980 to 2014

Input & Output stations: Correlation between offshore and nearshore Stations

Performance: 10-Fold cross validation **«** RMSE, R² (bias, scatter index,...)



Machine Learning Models



Multi Linear Regression

 $Y(Hs,Tm,Tp,Dir)_{NEARSHORE} = \beta \cdot X(Hs,Tm,Tp,Dir)_{OFFSHORE}$

- Pros: Easy implementation and interpretation
- Cons: Non-linear wave propagation processes

Random Forest

- A number of decision trees (*bagged*) are trained independently on bootstrapped data from the input dataset.
- Pros: Fast algorithm, easy implementation, able to capture non-linearities and provides quantiles of the response variable
- Cons: Difficult interpretation



Machine Learning Models

- Multivariate Adaptive Regression Splines
 - The algorithm automatically selects the cutpoints (*Knots*) of the predictors for fitting cubic regressions where the smallest error is achieved.
 - Pros: Automatically captures non-linear relationships and easy interpretation
 - Cons: Computational expensive compared to MLR and RF

Artificial Neural Network

- Connected networks of neurons that are iteratively trained (by modifying the weights of the connections) to relate the inputs (*predictors*) to the output (*response*)
- Network architecture:
 - 1 Hidden Layer with 10 neurons
 - Transfer function hidden layer: tan sigmoid
 - Transfer function output layer: purelin
- Pros: Automatically captures non-linear relationships
- Cons: Not computational efficient compared to MLR and RF, network

architecture has to be defined in order to obtain good performance







Models' Performance



RF outperforms the other models, it is <u>easy to implement</u> and <u>computational</u> <u>efficient</u>

- Is is simulated with average error of 11% along the entire coast of Florida and 6% in the extremes
- Similarly, Tp and Tm are simulated with errors between 5% to 6%







Models' Performance Dir



UCF Coastal

JNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA





Machine learning models are an efficient tool for downscaling wave projections, which are still omitted in the majority of coastal flood assessments

RF outperforms the other models and requires lower computational time
 Circular variables such as the Dir require a transformation into two variables in order to accurately model the North sector