Assessing the Influences of Demersal Trawling on Sedimentary Marine Carbon Stores

<u>Kirsty Black¹</u>, William Austin^{1,2}, Joanna Norkko³

¹ School of Geography & Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK

- ² Scottish Association for Marine Science, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban, PA37 1QA, UK
- ³ Tvärminne Zoological Station, University of Helsinki, J.A. Palménin tie 260, 10900 Hanko, Finland

Email: <u>kb99@st-andrews.ac.uk</u> Twitter: @CarbonKirsty @marinecarbonsta





Carbon dioxide (CO_2) is removed from the atmosphere into the marine environment via gas-exchange where it is fixed via photosynthesis in primary producers to form particulate organic carbon (POC). This POC plays a substantial role in both pelagic and benthic ecosystems. In the latter, POC which has not been consumed in the water column sinks to the seabed where it may be consumed by the benthic food-web or it may be buried and stored within marine sediments ¹. This process plays a fundamental role in the transfer of CO_2 from the atmosphere to long-term sedimentary carbon stores. It is estimated that approximately 476 Mt of POC is stored within the top 10 cm of sediments on the North-West European continental shelf area $(1,111,812 \text{ km}^2)^2$.

The rate at which POC accumulates and is stored in marine sediments is thought to be highly variable due to several processes occurring on the seabed. For example, natural processes such as storm events and tidal movement can influence the particle movement of the seabed, leading to sediment resuspension events ³. Anthropogenic processes such as benthic trawling may also influence POC supply, accumulation rate, and long-term storage ^{4–6}; however the impact of benthic trawling on these carbon stores remains poorly understood.

1. Introduction



2. Experimental Objectives and Design

In order to try gain a better understanding of the post-disturbance effects of carbon cycling in marine sediments, an experimental trial was conducted at the Tvärminne Zoological Station, Finland. The purpose of this experiment was to investigate the following:



"If sediments undergo daily disturbance and resuspension over the period of 21 days what happens to carbon in these sediments?"

- 1. Is there a change in POC/DOC from daily disturbance?
- 2. Does the depth of the disturbance (and thus the amount of sediment resuspended) influence POC/DOC?
- 3. Are nutrients effected by disturbance?

To test these objectives, sediment was collected from the Finnish Archipelago area beside the research station. Here, two key sample sites were used. The first, was in a sheltered outflow region of Skogbyfjarden, which is dominated by terrestrial influences. The second, was in a more open marine influenced area of the Baltic Sea. Due to the differing carbon types (e.g. marine and terrestrial) from the two sample sites, an additional objective was added:

4. How does carbon content, DOC, and nutrients vary with depth in cores collected from the two sites?



Fig. 2: Set-up of the aquaria facilities used for the experiment. For sediment 1 and 2 there were two control tanks A and B. For each of the disturbance regimes there was one tank per sediment type.

• Over the 21-day period, sediments underwent an artificial disturbance event every 24 hours. The disturbance was conducted via the use of a wooden paddle of a set length. This ensured that the daily disturbance depth of the sediments was uniform.









Figs. 2-5: Various stages of the experiment. Fig 2 (top right): Pore water extractions via Rhizons. Two cores were collected from each station. Pore waters were then used for DOC and nutrient analysis. Fig 3 & 4 (above): The top figure shows the four control tanks, whilst the bottom figure shows the 8 experimental tanks. The aquaria room was kept at a constant temperature, and all tanks were supplied with a low flow of water at a constant temperature. Fig 5: Filtration set up for the sample waters. A 70ml subsample was filtered on Whatman GF/F filters. Filtrate was kept for DOC and nutrient analysis while the filter papers were dried for LOI.

3. What's Next?

Currently waiting on data **(ON HOLD DUE TO COVID-19).** All water samples collected throughout the experiment are **undergoing analysis at Tvärminne Zoological Station in Finland**. These samples are as following:

- 1. Porewater profiles: DOC and nutrients (PO₄, SiO₂, NH₄, NO₂, NO₃)
- 2. DOC from the daily filtrate
- During the resuspension process the flow waters to the tanks was turned off to minimise the loss of the resuspended sediments from the tanks. The flow was resumed once the sediments had settled. The experimental aquaria room was kept at a constant 7°C for the duration of the experiment, this was the approximate temperature of the seawater in the local area at this time.
- Upon sediment resuspension, a 500ml water sample was immediately collected. This sample was then refrigerated before filtering (<3 hours between sampling and filtering). Samples were filtered on Whatman GF/F filters. The filters were dried for LOI analysis, while the filtrate was separated: 20ml samples were acidified for DOC, 50ml samples were frozen for nutrient analysis.
- On day 1 and day 21 a time series of sample collection was conducted, where a preresuspension sample was collected, and a post-resuspension sample was collected immediately after the disturbance and then every hour for 4 hours. Sediment push cores were also collected from the tanks on these days.

3. Nutrients (PO₄, SiO₂, NH₄, NO₂, NO₃) from the daily filtrate

All sediment and filter samples collected will **undergo analysis at The University of St Andrews**. These samples are as following:

- 1. Dried GF/F filters for LOI
- 2. Elemental analysis (C & N) on the sediment samples collected from the tanks
- 3. Elemental analysis (C & N) on the sediment sample slices from the cores collected at the two stations
- 4. Particle size analysis on all sediment samples
- 5. Thermogravimetric analysis on all sediment samples

- 1. Libes SM. Introduction to Marine Biogeochemistry. 2nd ed. Conway: Elsevier Inc.; 2009.
- 2. Diesing M, Kröeger S, Parker R, Jenkins C, Mason C, Weston K. Predicting the standing stock of organic carbon in surface sediments of the North-West European continental shelf. Biogeochemistry. 2017;135:183-200.
- 3. Watling L, Norse EA. Disturbance of the Seabed Forest by Mobile Fishing Gear : Comparison to Clearcutting. *Conserv Biol*. 1998;12(6):1180-1197.
- 4. Trimmer M, Petersen J, Sivyer DB, Mills C, Young E, Parker ER. Impact of long-term benthic trawl disturbance on sediment sorting and biogeochemistry in the southern North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 2005;298:79-94.
- 5. Hiddink JG, Jennings S, Kaiser MJ. Indicators of the ecological impact of bottom-trawl disturbance on seabed communities. Ecosystems. 2006;9(7):1190-1199.
- 6. Eigaard OR, Bastardie F, Breen M, et al. Estimating seabed pressure from demersal trawls, seines, and dredges based on gear design and dimensions. ICES J Mar Sci. 2015;73(suppl 1):i27-i43.

Funded by the EU Assemble+ program, The Scottish Blue Carbon Forum (Marine Scotland Science), Scottish Natural Heritage, and The University of St Andrews. Rights reserved due to ongoing PhD research

