

# High temperature in the upper mantle beneath Cape Verde as a possible cause for the oceanic lithosphere rejuvenation inferred from Rayleigh-wave phase-velocity measurements.

Joana Carvalho, Raffaele Bonadio, Graça Silveira, Sergei Lebedev, Susana Custódio, João Mata, Pierre Arroucau, Thomas Meier and Nicolas Celli



## Geological setting



**Figure 1:** (a) Topographic map of the Central Atlantic region. A red circle marks the location of Cape Verde, 560 km west of Africa. (b) Shear wave speed anomalies at 150 km depth beneath the region, according to the waveform tomography of Celli et al. (2019). A strong low velocity (Vs) anomaly can be observed beneath the Cape Verde region.

- Part of the Macaronesia, together with Canary, Azores, Madeira and Selvagens.
- Located at ~560 km from the African coast, on the eastern Central Atlantic Ocean, where the oceanic crust age range between 115 to 140 Ma.
- Intraplate active volcanic archipelago, consisting in 9 inhabited islands and some minor islets.
- Presents a particular distribution of the islands in an horse-shoe shape, with a peculiar age progression.
- It has been included in several hotspot catalogues.
- Tomographic studies have shown low-velocity anomalies beneath Cape Verde (e.g. Fig. 1b).
- The magmatism origin has been debated: Some attributed it to mantle plumes models and others to edge-drive convection.

### Seismic data

**7 broadband** Guralp 3Ts (120s) stations, recording from 2002-2004 (yellow triangles) plus **38 stations** equipped with Earth Data PR6-24 data loggers and Guralp CMG-3ESP (60s) seismometers recording from 2007-2008 (red triangles).



**Figure 3:** Seismic network and seafloor age isochrons (*Müller et al., 2008*).

### Data challenge



- The high level of ambient noise, limiting the number of successful measurements;
- Remoteness of Cape Verde from the seismically active areas;
- The unevenness of the station-to-station path coverage, due to the distribution of the islands and the absence of OBS.

**Figure 4:** Seismic network and station-to-station path coverage (black lines).

# Methodology

#### Two-station method —

- Cross-correlation of the teleseismic events of each pair of stations;
- Dispersion curves were calculated from the **phase of the cross-correlation functions**;
- To reduce the effect other signals, the cross-correlation was filtered with a frequency-dependent
  - Gaussian band-pass filter and windowed in the time domain, enhancing the SNR.

### Phase-velocity measurements

- Manual selection based on the smoothness, length and deviation from a reference curve;
- Minimum of two measurements for each frequency (not achieved for some stations) —
- Gather all the stations per island, to avoid losing good measurements;
- Compute an average curve, per each frequency, for all the selected measurements.

## Phase-velocity measurements

- To overcome the  $2\pi$  ambiguity arctangent function a reference curve is required. At first, we used the AK135, but a a more regional model was necessary. We also plotted the PREM and PA5 phase-velocity curves, for comparison but not for the measurements selection.
- To determine a more suitable curve for Cape Verde we selected automatically all the possible measurements, rejecting only the signals dominated by noise. By gathering these measurements a density plot was computed, from which an average dispersion curve was calculated.



**Figure 5:** *a)* Density distribution plot for the stack of automated preliminary measurements, normalized to the maximum at each period. The regional reference curve is substantially different from the global reference curves AK135 (yellow dashed line) (Kennett et al. 1995), PREM (green dashed line) (Dziewonski and Anderson, 1981), and also from the PA5 model for the Pacific (blue dashed line) (Gaherty et al. 1996). b) Average phase-velocity curve (red line) obtained from the smoother dispersion curves (dark grey), used for the 1D inversion for Vs profile in depth.

### Cape Verde versus Atlantic



**Figure 6:** Comparison of Cape Verde-region average dispersion curve (black line) with the Atlantic Rayleigh-wave phase velocities averaged for different lithospheric ages (James et al. 2014).

We compared our average dispersion curve with the Atlantic Rayleigh-wave phase-velocity dispersion curves for different lithospheric ages.

Cape Verde exhibits lower velocities, for frequencies < 0.025 Hz, than any of the Atlantic average curves, and lower for the entire frequency band for any curve representing an age higher than 20 Ma.

This suggests that Cape Verde lithosphere was reset to an younger age and the asthenosphere is hotter than the average for the Atlantic.

### Average shear-wave velocity structure



**Figure 7:** Results of the inversion. (a) Inversion result (red line) and the modified AK135 (black line) as a reference model (Kennett et al. 1995). (b) Measured dispersion curve (blue line) and the synthetic phase-velocities (red line). (c) The relative data-synthetic misfit.

The **average dispersion curve** was inverted using a non-linear, Levenberg-Marquart gradient search algorithm.

#### Initial model:

4 layer model for the crust (from CRUST 2.0)
AK135 modified to the mantle
Constant shear-wave velocities (4.45 km/s) until 220 km depth, linearly increasing below that depth.

#### **Parametrization:**

- Boxcar functions for the crustal layers;
- Triangle basis functions for the mantle;
- Moho discontinuity also taken in consideration.

Striking feature: a pronounced **low-velocity zone** ( $\sim$ 60 to  $\sim$ 210 km depth) with a velocity of  $\sim$ 4.2 km/s. At 280–350 km the models are indistinguishable.

## Evidence for a rejuvenated lithosphere



**Figure 8:** Comparison of Cape Verde average profile (black line) with different lithospheric ages profiles for the Central Atlantic Ocean from waveform tomography. Dashed lines correspond to the same lithospheric ages calculated from the SL2013sv model (Schaeffer and Lebedev, 2015).

**Petrological arguments** confirm our results, pointing to an **excess of temperature** beneath Cape Verde:

 $T_{p} (Cape Verde) \approx 1430-1470^{\circ}C$ >  $T_{p} (Average mantle) \approx 1337\pm35^{\circ}$ >  $T_{p} (MORB) \approx 1440^{\circ}C$ 

## On the origin of Cape Verde hotspot

Lithospheric, age-dependent profiles for the Central Atlantic show that S-wave velocities for different ages tend to converge at a depth of  $\sim 200$  km BUT the Vs profile of the Cape Verde region is distinctly different: down to 300 km it shows Vs values lower than typical for the Central Atlantic.



## On the origin of Cape Verde hotspot



#### Geochemistry in Cape Verde

Noble gas isotopic geochemistry is considered an important tool to assess the nature of contributions of distinct mantle reservoirs to magma sources.

Douceland et al.  $(2003) \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios up to 15.7 Ra for silicate rocks Mata et al.  $(2010) \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{He}/{}^{4}\text{He}$  ratios up to 15.5 Ra for carbonatites

Unradiogenic signatures preserved in the lower mantle could have contributed to the Cape Verde origin.

Hoernle et al. (2002)  $\longrightarrow$  <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>204</sup>Pb ratios up to 20.238 for carbonatites

Mourão et al. (2012)  $\rightarrow$  <sup>206</sup>Pb/<sup>204</sup>Pb ratios up to 20.251 for silicate rocks

Indirect evidence for an origin related to a deep rooted plume

Jackson et al. (2018) showed that hotspots with a strong contribution of high time-integrated U/Pb mantle component, as is the case of Cape Verde, are more likely to be associated with deeply anchored mantle plumes.

**Geochemical arguments** strongly suggest an origin of the Cape Verde hotspot related with a mantle plume rooted in the lowest levels of the mantle, whereas in what concerns the **seismic studies** there is no agreement.

## Comparison with other hotspots



**Figure 9:** (a) Vs profiles for Tristan da Cunha (red line) (Bonadio et al., 2018) and Cape Verde (black line). (b) Cape Verde (black line) and Vs profiles for three different regions in Hawaii.

- Similar to Tristan da Cunha with slightly lower velocities.
- Hawaii active volcanism zone similar within the lithosphere.
- > 75 km Hawaii evinces lower velocities, which means warmer asthenosphere, as expected.

The comparison with hotspots located on, or close to, the Mid Atlantic Ridge showed, at depths down to 150 km, higher velocities beneath Cape Verde, suggesting a **thicker lithosphere beneath Cape Verde** when compared with the "near-ridge" archipelagos.



**Figure 10:** Vs profiles for Iceland (blue lines), Azores (green lines) and Ascension (red lines) hotspots (Gaherty and Dunn, 2007). Cape Verde Vs profile is represented by a black line. The several lines of the same color for each hotspot represent different oceanic lithosphere ages.

## Conclusions

We measured fundamental-mode Rayleigh-wave dispersion curves in a broad period range, yielding an accurate and definitive local Vs profile than available previously;

Our data reveals a low-velocity zone reaching a minimum Vs of ~ 4.2 km/s at 170 km depth, suggestive of a temperature anomaly, likely to have been the cause for a significant thermal rejuvenation of the oceanic lithosphere beneath Cape Verde to an apparent age of approximately 30 Ma;

The determined apparent age is much lower than the previously proposed value of 59 Ma (Sleep, 1990) reducing the need to invoke a significant role of dynamic support of the Cape Verde swell;

The minimum value of Vs at the Cape Verde asthenosphere is higher than the one reported for under the active volcanoes of Hawaii but similar to that for Tristan da Cunha, consistent with higher potential temperatures for Hawaii compared to Cape Verde and Tristan da Cunha;

Our results, interpreted together with the evidence on the relatively He-unradiogenic signatures and low-seismicvelocity anomalies in the lower mantle, strongly suggest an origin of the Cape Verde hotspot related to a deeply anchored mantle plume.

#### If you like this study, please check our publication!

doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.228225



All figures presented are from Carvalho et al. (2019)

## References

- Bonadio, R., Geissler, W.H., Lebedev, S., Fullea, J., Ravenna, M., Celli, N.L., Jokat, W., Jegen, M., Sens-Schönfelder, C., Baba, K., 2018. Hot upper mantle beneath the Tristan da Cunha hotspot, from probabilistic Rayleigh-wave inversion and petrological modeling. Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems 107–111.
- Celli, N. L., Lebedev, S., Schaeffer, A. J., Ravenna, M., & Gaina, C. (2020). The upper mantle beneath the South Atlantic Ocean, South America and Africa from waveform tomography with massive data sets. Geophysical Journal International, 221(1), 178-204.
- · Deuss, A., 2007. Seismic observations of transition-zone discontinuities beneath hotspot locations. Special Papers-Geological Society of America 430, 121.
- · Dziewonski, A.M., Anderson, D.L., 1981. Preliminary reference earth model. Phys. Earth Planet. Inter. 25 (4), 297–356.
- Gaherty, J.B., Jordan, T.H., Gee, L.S., 1996. Seismic structure of the upper mantle in a central Pacific corridor. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 101 (B10), 22291–22309.
- Gaherty, J.B., Dunn, R.A., 2007. Evaluating hot spot-ridge interaction in the Atlantic from regional-scale seismic observations. Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems 8 (5).
- Graham, D. 2002. Noble gases in MORB and OIB: observational constraints for the characterization of mantle source reservoirs. Rev Mineral Geochem 47, 247–318.
- · Gu, Y.J., An, Y., Sacchi, M., Schultz, R., Ritsema, J., 2009. Mantle reflectivity structure beneath oceanic hotspots. Geophys. J. Int. 178 (3), 1456–1472.
- Hellfrich, G., Faria, B., Fonseca, J.F.B.D., Lodge, A., Kaneshima, S., Month, 2010. Transition zone structure under a stationary hot spot: cape verde. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 289 (Number), 156–161.
- Hoernle, K., Tilton, G., Le Bas, M.J., Duggen, S., Garbe-Schönberg, D., 2002. Geochemistry of oceanic carbonatites compared with continental carbonatites: mantle recycling of oceanic crustal carbonate. Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 142 (5), 520–542.
- Müller, R.D., Sdrolias, M., Gaina, C., Roest, W.R., 2008. Age, spreading rates and spreading symmetry of the world's ocean crust, Geochemistry. Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst. 9, Q04006.
- · Jackson, M., Becker, T., Konter, J., 2018. Evidence for a deep mantle source for em and HIMU domains from integrated geochemical and geophysical constraints. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 484, 154–167.
- · James, E.K., Dalton, C.A., Gaherty, J.B. 2014. Rayleigh wave phase velocities in the Atlantic upper mantle. G<sup>3</sup> 15 (11), 4305–4324.
- · Kennett, B., Engdahl, E., Buland, R., 1995. Constraints on seismic velocities in the earth from traveltimes. Geophys. J. Int. 122 (1), 108–124.
- Mata, J., Moreira, M., Doucelance, R., Ader, M., Silva, L.C., 2010. Noble gas and carbon isotopic signatures of Cape Verde oceanic carbonatites: implications for carbon provenance. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 291 (1), 70–83.
- Mourão, C., Mata, J., Doucelance, R., Madeira, J., Millet, M.A., Moreira, M., 2012. Geochemical temporal evolution of brava island magmatism: constraints on the variability of cape verde mantle sources and on carbonatite–silicate magma link. Chem. Geol. 334, 44–61.
- Saki, M., Thomas, C., Nippress, S.E., Lessing, S., 2015. Topography of upper mantle seismic discontinuities beneath the north Atlantic: the Azores, canary and Cape Verde plumes. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 409, 193–202.
- Schaeffer, A., Lebedev, S., 2015. Global heterogeneity of the lithosphere and underlying mantle: a seismological appraisal based on multimode surface-wave dispersion analysis, shear-velocity tomography, and tectonic regionalization. The Earth's Heterogeneous Mantle. Springer, pp. 3–46.
- · Sleep, N.H., 1990. Hotspots and mantle plumes: some phenomenology. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 95 (B5), 6715–6736.
- Vinnik, L., Silveira, G., Kiselev, S., Farra, V., Weber, M., Stutzmann, E., Month, 2012. Cape verde hotspot from the upper crust to the top of the lower mantle. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 319–320 (Number), 259–268.