Modeling the geometry of melt ponds on Arctic sea ice

Kenneth Golden¹, Brady Bowen², Yiping Ma³, Ryleigh Moore¹, Court Strong¹, and Ivan Sudakov⁴

University of Utah
Oregon State University
Northumbria University
University of Dayton

EGU 2020, 4 May

melt pond formation and albedo evolution:

- major drivers in polar climate
- key challenge for global climate models

numerical models of melt pond evolution, including topography, drainage (permeability), etc.

Lüthje, Feltham, Taylor, Worster 2006 Flocco, Feltham 2007

Skyllingstad, Paulson, Perovich 2009 Flocco,Schroeder, Feltham, Hunke 2012



Are there universal features of the evolution similar to phase transitions in statistical physics?

Transition in the fractal geometry of Arctic melt ponds

Christel Hohenegger, Bacim Alali, Kyle Steffen, Don Perovich, Ken Golden

The Cryosphere, 2012



Continuum percolation model for melt pond evolution level sets of random surfaces

Brady Bowen, Court Strong, Ken Golden, J. Fractal Geometry 2018



random Fourier series representation of surface topography



intersections of a plane with the surface deÿne melt ponds







electronic transport in disordered media

diffusion in turbulent plasmas

Isichenko, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1992

fractal dimension curves depend on statistical parameters defining random surface



Saddle Points: The Key to Fractal Evolution

Ryleigh Moore, Jacob Jones, Dane Gollero, Court Strong, Ken Golden 2020





- Ponds connect through saddle points (Morse Theory).
- Red bond bond in percolation theory ~ saddle point.





pond coalescence and thickening

In the graph, we follow a single pond's growth. The vertical lines denote when the pond goes through a saddle point.

We see that large jumps in isoperimetric ratio occur through saddle points.



Ising Model for a Ferromagnet



Curie point critical temperature

Baker, PRL 1968

Ising model for ferromagnets —> Ising model for melt ponds

Ma, Sudakov, Strong, Golden, New J. Phys., 2019

 $\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{i}^{N} H_{i} s_{i} - J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle}^{N} s_{i} s_{j} \qquad s_{i} = \begin{cases} \bigstar & +1 & \text{water (spin up)} \\ \checkmark & -1 & \text{ice} & (\text{spin down}) \end{cases}$

random magnetic field represents snow topography

magnetization M pond coverage $\frac{(M+1)}{2}$ ~ albedo

only nearest neighbor patches interact

Starting with random initial configurations, as Hamiltonian energy is minimized by Glauber spin flip dynamics, system "flows" toward metastable equilibria.



ONLY MEASURED INPUT = LENGTH SCALE (GRID SIZE) from snow topography data

The effect of melt pond geometry on the distribution of solar energy under first-year sea ice

Horvat, Flocco, Rees Jones, Roach, Golden, Geophys. Res. Lett., 2020

- Model for 3D light field under ponded sea ice.
- Distribution of solar energy at depth influenced by *shape and connectivity* of melt ponds, as well as area fraction.
- Aggregate properties of the sub-ice light field, such as a significant enhancement of available solar energy under the ice, are controlled by parameter closely related to pond fractal geometry.
- Model and analysis explain how melt pond geometry *homogenizes* under-ice light field, affecting habitability.

Pond geometry affects the ecology of the Arctic Ocean. Thanks to ONR and NSF.