

- Historical (1700–2012) Global Multi-model Estimates of the Fire
- **Emissions from the Fire Modeling Intercomparison Project (FireMIP)**

Fang Li Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences Email: <u>lifang@mail.iap.ac.cn</u>

With FireMIP

Li, F., Val Martin, M., Andreae, M. O., Arneth, A., Hantson, S., Kaiser, J. W., Lasslop, G., Yue, C., Bachelet, D., Forrest, M., Kluzek, E., Liu, X., Mangeon, S., Melton, J. R., Ward, D. S., Darmenov, A., Hickler, T., Ichoku, C., Magi, B. I., Sitch, S., van der Werf, G. R., Wiedinmyer, C., and Rabin, S. S.: Historical (1700–2012) global multi-model estimates of the fire emissions from the Fire Modeling Intercomparison Project (FireMIP), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 12545–12567, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-12545-2019, 2019. https://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/19/12545/2019/

Background



Importance of fire emissions

- Key component of land C budget
- > Major source of greenhouse gases
- Largest contributor of primary carbonaceous aerosols globally
- Affect climate, C/nutrient cycles, Air quality & human health

- Regional/global fire emissions
- > No observations
- Estimates methods:

Satellite-based (e.g. GFED) global but only present-day

Fire proxy records (e.g. GCD): long-term but limited spatial extent

DGVM with fire modeling (e.g. FireMIP): local to global scales for past, present, and future

- Earlier studies: one single time series / based on one DGVM
- > quantify uncertainty in historical fire emis. (NO)
- understand inter-model discrepancy in historical reconstruction and future projections (NO)

Our study:

- provides a new dataset of multi-model global gridded fire emis. (9 DGVMs, 1700-2012, C and 33 aerosols & trace gases)
- comprehensively evaluates the model-based estimates
- > analyzes simulated long-term changes, inter-model diff., & their drivers

Methods and data

• FireMIP Models

> 9 DGVMs

Inc. all fire schemes used in CMIP6/IPCC AR6

Also inc. GlobFIRM (most commonly used in CMIP5/IPCC AR5)

median of six of them determines historical changes over most regions of the world in CMIP6 fire emis. (as input data of CMIP6 CSMs/ESMs)

P: prescribed M: modeled

DGVMs	tem. res.	spatial res.	period	natural	fire scheme ref.	DGVM ref.
	of model	of model		veg.		
	outputs	outputs		distrib.		
CLM4.5 but CLM5 fire	monthly	${\sim}1.9^{\circ}$ (lat)	1700-	Р	Li et al. (2012, 2013)	Oleson et al. (2013)
model (CLM4.5)		$\times 2.5^{\circ}$ (lon)	2012		Li and Lawrence (2017)	
CTEM	monthly	2.8125°	1861-	Р	Arora and Boer (2005)	Melton and Arora
			2012		Melton and Arora (2016)	(2016)
JSBACH-SPITFIRE	monthly	1.875°	1700-	Р	Lasslop et al. (2014)	Brovkin et al. (2013)
(JSBACH)			2012		Thonicke et al. (2010)	
JULES-INFERNO	monthly	${\sim}1.2^{\circ}$ (lat)	1700-	М	Mangeon et al. (2016)	Best et al. (2011)
(JULES)		$\times 1.9^{\circ}(lon)$	2012			Clark et al. (2011)
LPJ-GUESS-GlobFIRM	annual	0.5°	1700-	М	Thonicke et al. (2001)	Smith et al. (2014)
(LGG)			2012			Lindeskog et al. (2013)
LPJ-GUESS-SPITFIRE	monthly	0.5°	1700-	М	Lehsten et al. (2009)	Smith et al. (2001)
(LGS)			2012		Rabin et al. (2017)	Ahlstrom et al. (2012)
LPJ-GUESS-SIMFIRE	monthly	0.5°	1700-	М	Knorr et al. (2016)	Smith et al. (2014)
-BLAZE (LGSB)			2012			Lindeskog et al. (2013)
						Nieradzik et al. (2017)
MC2	annual	0.5°	1901-	М	Bachelet et al. (2015)	Bachelet et al. (2015)
			2008		Sheehan et al. (2015)	Sheehan et al. (2015)
ORCHIDEE-SPITFIRE	monthly	0.5°	1700-	Р	Yue et al. (2014, 2015)	Krinner et al. (2005)
(ORCHIDEE)			2012		Thonicke et al. (2010)	

Global fire schemes	DGVMs	crop	tropical	human	human fire	peat	pasture	combust.
in FireMIP DGVMs		fire	human	ignition	suppression	fire		complete. range
			defor. fire					of woody tissue
Fire C emissions	CLM4.5	yes	yes	increase	occurrence &	yes ^e	as natural	27-35% (stem)
= burned area (BA) \times fuel load				with PD ^a	spread area ^b		grassland	40% (CWD ^f)
\times combustion completeness (CC)	CTEM	no	no	increase	occurrence &	no	as natural	6% (stem)
				with PD	duration ^c		grassland	15-18% (CWD)
^a PD: population density	JSBACH	as grass	no	increase	occurrence &	no	high fuel	0-45%
^b fire suppression increases with PD and		fire		with PD	duration ^c		bulk den.	
GDP, different between tree PFTs and	JULES	no	no	increase	occurrence ^c	no	as natural	0-40%
grass/shrub PFTs				with PD			grassland	
^c fire suppression increases with PD	LGG	no	no	no	no	no	harvest	70–90%
^d Assume no fire in grid cell when pre-	LGS	no	no	increase	occurrence ^c	no	as natural	0–98% (100h ^g)
calculated rate of spread, fireline intensity,				with PD			grassland	0–80% (1000h ^g)
than thresholds	LGSB	no	no	increase	burned area ^c	no	harvest	0-50%
^e CLM4.5 outputs in FireMIP include				with PD				
biomass and litter burning due to peat fires,	MC2	no	no	no	occurrence ^d	no	as natural	0-87% (100h)
but don't include burning of soil organic							grassland	0-43% (1000h)
matter	ORCHIDEE	no	no	increase	occurrence ^c	no	as natural	0–73% (100h)
^f Coarse Woody Debris				with PD			grassland	0–41% (1000h)
^g 100-hour fuels and 1000-hour fuel classes		•	•	•	•			

FireMIP experimental protocol and input datasets



5 sensitive runs:

- constant climate
- constant atmospheric [CO₂]
- constant land cover
- constant population density
- constant lightning frequency

- Estimate fire trace gas & aerosol emis.
- Fire emis. of trace gas and aerosol species *i* and the PFT *j*

 $E_{i,j} = EF_{i,j} \times CE_j/[C]$

EF: emission factors (Andreae, 2019) *CE*: fire carbon emis. output from FireMIP DGVMs $[C]=0.5 \times 10^3$ g C (kg DM)⁻¹ unit conversion factor

No.	Species	grassland	tropical	temperate	boreal	cropland
		/savanna	forest	forest	forest	
1	CO ₂	1647	1613	1566	1549	1421
2	СО	70	108	112	124	78
3	CH_4	2.5	6.3	5.8	5.1	5.9
4	NMHC	5.5	7.1	14.6	5.3	5.8
5	H2	0.97	3.11	2.09	1.66	2.65
6	NO _x	2.58	2.55	2.90	1.69	2.67
7	N ₂ O FF Tab	0.18	0.20	0.25	0.25	0.09
8		7.5	8.3	18.1	20.2	8.5
9	TPM	8.5	10.9	18.1	15.3	11.3
10	TPC	3.4	6.0	8.4	10.6	5.5
11	OC	3.1	4.5	8.9	10.1	5.0
12	BC	0.51	0.49	0.66	0.50	0.43
13	SO ₂	0.51	0.78	0.75	0.75	0.81
14	C ₂ H ₆ (ethane)	0.42	0.94	0.71	0.90	0.76
15	CH ₃ OH (methanol)	1.48	3.15	2.13	1.53	2.63
16	C ₃ H ₈ (propane)	0.14	0.53	0.29	0.28	0.20
17	C ₂ H ₂ (acetylene)	0.34	0.43	0.35	0.27	0.32
18	C ₂ H ₄ (ethylene)	1.01	1.11	1.22	1.49	1.14
19	C ₃ H ₆ (propylene)	0.49	0.86	0.67	0.66	0.48
20	C ₅ H ₈ (isoprene)	0.12	0.22	0.19	0.07	0.18
21	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ (terpenes)	0.10	0.15	1.07	1.53	0.03
22	C ₇ H ₈ (toluene)	0.20	0.23	0.43	0.32	0.18
23	C ₆ H ₆ (benzene)	0.34	0.38	0.46	0.52	0.31
24	C ₈ H ₁₀ (xylene)	0.09	0.09	0.17	0.10	0.09
25	CH ₂ O (formaldehyde)	1.33	2.40	2.22	1.76	1.80
26	C ₂ H ₄ O (acetaldehyde)	0.86	2.26	1.20	0.78	1.82
27	C ₃ H ₆ O (acetone)	0.47	0.63	0.70	0.61	0.61
28	$\rm C_3H_6O_2(hydroxyacetone)$	0.52	1.13	0.85	1.48	1.74
29	C ₆ H ₅ OH (Phenol)	0.37	0.23	0.33	2.96	0.50
30	NH3 (ammonia)	0.91	1.45	1.00	2.82	1.04
31	HCN (hydrogen cyanide)	0.42	0.38	0.62	0.81	0.43
32	MEK/2-butanone	0.13	0.50	0.23	0.15	0.60
33	CH ₃ CN (acetonitrile)	0.17	0.51	0.23	0.30	0.25

> Associate DGVMs' plant functional types (PFTs) to EF Table's land cover types

T: tree; S: shrub; W: woodland; F: forest; G: grass; P: pasture; Sava: Savanna; N: needleleaf; E: evergreen; B: broadleaf; D: deciduous; R: raingreen; SI: shadedintolerant; SG: summer-green; M: mixed; I: irrigated; RF: rainfed; C/W: cool or warm; S/W: spring or winter, Tro: Tropical; Tem: Temperate; Bor: Boreal; Sub-Tro: subtropical; Ex-Tro: Extratropical; A: Arctic ^a split tree PFTs into tropical, temperate, and boreal groups following rules of Nemani and Running (1996) that also used to make CLM land surface data by Peter et al. (2007; 2012) since CLM version 3; ^bLGG and LGBS did not outputs PFT-level fire carbon emissions, so land cover classified using its dominant vegetation type y

^c MC2 classifies tropical savannas and tropical
deciduous woodland regions, and the latter mainly
represents tropical deciduous forests

LCT	Grassland	Tropical	Temperate	Boreal	Cropland
Models	/Savannas	Forest	Forest	Forest	
CLM4.5	A C3/C3/C4 G	Tro BE T	Tem NE T	Bor NE T	Crop
	Bor BD S	Tro BD T	Tem BE T	Bor ND T	
	Tem BE/BD S		Tem BD T	Bor BD T	
CTEM	C3/C4 G	$\mathrm{BE}\; \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}$	NE/BE T ^a	NET ^a , ND T	C3/C4 Crop
		Other BD T ^a	Other BD T ^a	Cold BD T	
JSBACH	C3/C4 G/P	Tro E/D T	Ex-Tro E/D T ^a	Ex-Tro E/D T ^a	Crop
JULES	C3/C4 G	Tro BE T	Tem BE T	BD/NE T ^a	
	E/D S	BD T ^a	BD/NE T ^a	NDT	
LGG^{b}	C3/C4 G	Tro BE/BR T	Tem NSG/BSG/BE T	Bor NE T	R/I S/W Wheat
	C3/C4 G in P	Tro SI BE T	Tem SI SG B T	Bor SI NE T	R/I Maize
LGS	C3/C4 G	Tro BE/BR T	Tem SI/&SG B T	Bor NE T	
		Tro SI BE T	Tem B/N E T	Bor SI/&SG NE/N T	
$\mathrm{LGSB}^{\mathrm{b}}$	C3/C4 G	Tro BE/BR T	Tem NSG/BSG/ BE T	Bor NE T	R/I S/W Wheat
	C3/C4 G in P	Tro SI BE T	Tem SI SG B T	Bor SI NE T	R/I Maize
MC2	Tem C3 G/S	Tro BE T	Maritime NE F	Bor NE F	
	Sub-Tro C4 G/S	Tro D W ^c	Sub-Tro NE/BD/BE/M F	Subalpine F	
	Tro S/G/Sava		Tem NE/BD F	Cool N F	
	Bor M W		Tem C/W M F		
	Tem/Sub-Tro				
	NE/B/M W				
	Tundra				
	Taiga-Tundra				
ORCHIDEE	C3/C4 G	Tro B E/R T	Tem N/B E T	Bor N E/D T	C3/C4 Crop
			Tem BD T	Bor BT T	

• Benchmarks		Name	Method	Fire data sources	Peat	Start	reference
					burning	year	
	Г	GFED4	Bottom-up: fuel consumption,	MODIS, VIRS/ATSR	Y	1997	van der Werf et al. (2017)
		GFED4s	burned area &active fire counts		Y	1997	
		GFAS1.2	(GFED4&4s), FRP (GFAS1),	MODIS	Y	2001	Kaiser et al. (2012)
Satellite-based	4	FINN1.5	active fire counts (FINN1.5),	MODIS	Ν	2003	Wiedinmyer et al. (2011)
			emis. factor				
		FEER1	Top-down: FRP, satellite AOD	MODIS, SEVIRI	Y	2003	Ichoku and Ellison (2014)
	L	QFED2.5	constrained, emis. factor	MODIS	Ν	2001	Darmenov and da Silva (2015)
	٢	CMIP5	Merged decadal fire trace gas	GFED2, GICC, RETRO	Y	1850	Lamarque et al. (2010)
			and aerosol emis.	(model GlobFIRM used)			
Multi course manaed		CMIP6	Merged monthly fire carbon	GFED4s, median of six	Y	1750	van Marle et al. (2017)
Multi-source mergeu	1		emis., present-day veg. dist.,	FireMIP model sims.,			
			emis. factor	GCDv3 charcoal records,			
				WMO visibility obs.			

GFED4: Global Fire Emissions Dataset version 4; GFED4s: GFED4 with small fires; GFAS1.2: Global Fire Assimilation System version 1.2; FINN1.5: Fire Inventory from NCAR version 1.5; FRP: fire radiative power; FEER1: Fire emissions from the Fire Energetics and Emissions Research version1; QFED2.5: Quick Fire Emissions Dataset version 2.5; AOD: aerosol optical depth; GFED2: GFED version 2; RETRO: REanalysis of the TROpospheric chemical composition; GICC: Global Inventory for Chemistry-Climate studies; GCDv3: Global Charcoal Database version 3

Results: Evaluation of present-day fire emissions

Global totals of fire emis. (2003~2008)

Global amounts

within benchmarks' range, except for MC2 (small BA) & LGG (high CC)

Source	С	CO_2	СО	CH_4	BC	OC	PM _{2.5}
FireMIP							
CLM4.5	2.1	6.5	0.36	0.018	0.0021	0.020	0.042
CTEM	3.0	8.9	0.48	0.025	0.0028	0.030	0.060
JSBACH	2.1	6.5	0.32	0.013	0.0020	0.016	0.036
JULES	2.1	6.9	0.44	0.024	0.0022	0.020	0.039
LGG	4.9	15.4	0.90	0.047	0.0050	0.048	0.097
LGS	1.7	5.6	0.26	0.011	0.0017	0.012	0.027
LGSB	2.5	7.7	0.48	0.025	0.0025	0.024	0.047
MC2	1.0	3.1	0.18	0.008	0.0011	0.012	0.025
ORCHIDEE	2.8	9.2	0.44	0.018	0.0029	0.020	0.045
Benchmarks							
GFED4	1.5	5.4	0.24	0.011	0.0013	0.012	0.025
GFED4s	2.2	7.3	0.35	0.015	0.0019	0.016	0.036
GFAS1.2	2.1	7.0	0.36	0.019	0.0021	0.019	0.030
FINN1.5	2.0	7.0	0.36	0.017	0.0021	0.022	0.039
FEER1	4.2	14.0	0.65	0.032	0.0042	0.032	0.054
QFED2.5		8.2	0.39	0.017	0.0060	0.055	0.086

• Spatial pattern

Spatial pattern of 2003~2008 annual fire BC & sim.-obs. Cor

- > Overall ok, except for MC2
- CLM4.5, JULES, and LGSB are better
- Inter-model diff. mainly in tropics





• Seasonal cycle

> overall ok

- SPITFIRE family (ORCHIDEE, JSBACH, LGS) is poorer in SH
- Only CLM4.5 can capture two peak periods in NH extratropics (only CLM4.5 models crop fires)



Interannual variability

> Overall fail

partly due to a lack of modeling peat fires & tropical deforestation fires

CLM4.5, CTEM, & LGSB are better

DGVMs	GFED4	GFED4s	GFAS1.	FINN1.5	FEER1	QFED2.5
			2			
CLM4.5	0.73***	0.79***	0.63**	0.62*	0.55*	0.58**
CTEM	0.51**	0.54**	0.63**	0.60*	0.52	0.68**
JSBACH	-0.18	-0.42	0.10	0.02	-0.04	0.32
JULES	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.56*	0.29	0.39
LGG	0.08	0.03	-0.15	0.01	-0.20	-0.03
LGS	0.12	0.04	-0.00	0.40	-0.01	0.08
LGSB	0.51**	0.64***	0.39	0.72**	0.56*	0.55*
ORCHIDEE	-0.13	-0.25	-0.16	0.29	-0.10	-0.10

* (P<0.1) ** (P<0.05) *** (P<0.01)



Sim. – obs. Cor. of annual global fire PM_{2.5} emis.

Historical changes and drivers

- Historical global changes
- All models show a weak trend before 1850s, as CMIP6
- Inter-model diff. is large for the 20th century



• **Drivers**

mainly LULCC & human population density change



- Regional long-term changes
- Inter-model diff. is largest in SH South America (SHSA), Africa (NHAF & SHAF), & central Asia (CEAS)



- Most models reproduce the upward trends in the CMIP6/CMIP5 estimates since 1950s in SHSA and till ~1950 in Africa
- Long-term trends in regional fire emis. in SHSA, Africa, and CEAS broadly explain simulated global trends



Summary and outlook

1. Dataset: <u>https://zenodo.org/record/3386620#.XXaE1eRYaP8</u> with wide-ranging use:

- Develop multi-source merged fire emis. products and methods
- for the first time, allows end users to select all or a subset of model-based reconstruction for their regional or global inter-annual ~ multi-decadal research
- \succ quantify uncertainty range of past fire emis. and their impacts

2. Recent state of fire model performance: most models can overall reproduce the amount, spatial pattern, and seasonality, but fail to simulate the interannual variability

3. population density and LULCC are the primary uncertainty sources in long-term trend simulation

Fire models need improve modeling of human effect on fire