

CATCHMENTS AS REACTORS



**CRC 1253** 

CAMPO





- groundwater divides are important
- proper delineation requires hydraulic head measurements
- piezometers are expensive
- goal: find optimal piezometer placement strategy

![](_page_0_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_10.jpeg)

Jonas Allgeier<sup>1</sup> Ana González-Nicolás<sup>2</sup> Daniel Erdal Wolfgang **Nowak**<sup>2</sup> Olaf **Cirpka**<sup>1</sup>

![](_page_0_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **\$**<sup>o</sup> Approach **Novel Stochastic Framework**

![](_page_0_Figure_16.jpeg)

- ensemble flow modeling
- plausibility check
- particle tracking
- optimal design routine PreDIA
  - Bayesian averaging

![](_page_0_Figure_27.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_29.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Figure_30.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_34.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_36.jpeg)

# *ill* **Results** Measure in the Unknown Regions

### In the place wells far from existing ones choose medium spacing IF best designs are non-trivial **公 uncertainty reduction** of > 50 %

### Use the power of clicking/tapping!

![](_page_0_Picture_40.jpeg)

![](_page_0_Picture_41.jpeg)

# **Problem**

CATCHMENTS AS REACTORS

![](_page_1_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Go Back To Summary**

![](_page_1_Picture_3.jpeg)

**CRC 1253** 

CAMPOS

![](_page_1_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_5.jpeg)

**University of Stuttgart** Germany

Jonas Allgeier<sup>1</sup> Ana González-Nicolás<sup>2</sup> Daniel **Erdal** Wolfgang **Nowak**<sup>2</sup> Olaf **Cirpka**<sup>1</sup>

![](_page_1_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Delineation of Groundwater Divide**

- groundwater divides...
  - separate different groundwater bodies
  - determine contaminant fate
  - need to be known for catchment water balances • can be used as model boundaries
- $\rightarrow$  are imporant
- proper delineation...
  - is often difficult
  - (depending on hydrogeological setting)
- → requires hydraulic head measurements
- piezometers...
  - must be permitted
  - require **drilling** holes
  - need well installation
  - have to be maintained
- $\rightarrow$  are expensive

2 km

![](_page_1_Picture_32.jpeg)

# • cannot be derived from surface water divide • needs a calibrated subsurface flow model

- goal
- strategy

### figure on the left

- example

![](_page_1_Picture_48.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_50.jpeg)

 maximize gained information • minimize number of piezometers

### → find optimal piezometer placement

 south-west Germany (Ammer/Neckar valley) • dashed line: surface water divide • solid line: modelling domain dots with edge: present wells • dots without edge: new well points → what combination of three well points is best to derive the groundwater divide?

![](_page_1_Picture_55.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_5.jpeg)

**University of Stuttgart** 

![](_page_2_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Novel Stochastic Framework**

- stochastic modeling
  - three-dimensional
  - subsurface flow (Richards equation)
  - steady state
  - vary parameters, geometries, boundary conditions
  - take virtual measurements at all potential new locations
- → ensemble of realizations
- prefiltering
  - validate model realizations
  - compare against plausibility criteria
  - if implausible  $\rightarrow$  reject
- → ensemble of plausible realizations
- particle tracking
  - for each realization
  - initiate particles at surface
  - move along advective velocities
  - keep track of outlet locations

 $\rightarrow$  maps of particle fate

![](_page_2_Picture_30.jpeg)

- misclassification

### figure on the left

- 50 000 realizations
- → partly large uncertainties

![](_page_2_Picture_47.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_49.jpeg)

### Preposterior Data Impact Assessor

• PreDIA: Leube et. al. (2012); Bayesian assessment of the expected data impact on prediction confidence in optimal sampling design; Water Resources Research 48, W02501; doi:10.1029/2010WR010137 • optimal experimental design tool • makes use of **Bayesian averaging**  estimates uncertainty reduction... due to new measurements • metric: integrated probability of particle fate

 $\rightarrow$  can rank piezometer configurations

• ensemble of virtual head observations • all twenty potential locations

![](_page_2_Picture_55.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_56.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_0.jpeg)

# **Results**

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Go Back To Summary**

![](_page_3_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_6.jpeg)

**University of Stuttgart** 

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Measure in the Unknown Regions

- figure on the left
  - maps of particle fate misclassification
  - top: prior to analysis

![](_page_3_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_25.jpeg)

• piezometers far away from existing wells perform better • medium spacing ( $\approx 100 \,\mathrm{m}$ ) is preferred optimal designs are better than equidistant ones more piezometers 
→ more information

• bottom: with three additional wells (black dots) uncertainty in groundwater divide can be reduced • ... especially close to the new wells

## Submitted to Frontiers in Earth Science

![](_page_3_Picture_30.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_32.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_35.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_36.jpeg)