A spatial covariance model for GRACE and GRACE-FO terrestrial water storage data

Maik Thomas^{1,2}, Eva Boergens¹, Henryk Dobslaw¹, Robert Dill¹, Christoph Dahle¹, and Frank Flechtner^{1,3}

¹ GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Germany
² Institute of Meteorology, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany
³ Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation Science, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany





Introduction

- Why do we need GRACE and GRACE-FO covariances?
 - Such covariances allow to estimate uncertainties of regional TWS time series
 - Further use of data requires these uncertainties, e.g. data combination and model assimilation, or trend analysis
- Properties of the covariance model?
 - Observation system of GRACE and GRACE-FO leads to longer correlation length in North-South than East-West direction \rightarrow anisotropy
 - Due to the orbit configuration the uncertainty should be latitude-dependent \rightarrow non-homogeneity
 - Short-repeat orbit phases, time-variable instrument uncertainties, temporally variable environmental influences etc. lead to time-dependent uncertainties \rightarrow non-stationarity





Anisotropic + non-homogeneous + nonstationary covariance model

• Covariance between two points

 $C(\lambda_i, \theta_i, \lambda_j, \theta_j, t) = \sigma_i(t) \sigma_j(t) C_1(\lambda_i, \theta_i, \lambda_j, \theta_j)$

- Latitude-depending model by scaling correlation function $\rm C_0$ with even Legendre polynomials up to degree 8
- C_0 wave-effect function based on Bessel function of the first kind J_v and direction-depending shape parameter a

$$C_0(\cdot) = \frac{c_0}{a(\cdot)d(\cdot)} J_{\nu}(a(\cdot)d(\cdot))$$

• Shape parameter latitude-depending via Legendre polynomials

HELMHO



Regional standard deviation

• Regional variance:

$$var(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_i w_j C(\lambda_i, \theta_i, \lambda_j, \theta_j, t)$$

• Standard deviations of the 50 largest discharge basins





Realisation of the covariance model

Case 1:

- Tested on simulated GRACE-FO data from Flechtner et al. (2016)
- This allows validation against true uncertainty from difference between simulated TWS and input mass distributions: σ_{true}
- Grid point standard deviations are given as the standard deviations of all residual TWS data at given time step: $\sigma_{e,t}$
- Stokes coefficients are provided with formal errors which are variance-propagated to grid: σ_f

HEI MHO



Case 1: Basin standard deviation



 Validation by means of correlation coefficient R and global scaling factor S

	$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{f}$	$\sigma_{e,t}$
R	0.48	0.83
S	0.95	0.5

 Modelled uncertainties fit spatial pattern well but overestimate the uncertainty

HELMHOLTZ

Realisation of the covariance model

Case 2:

- GFZ RL06 TWS data: used for parameter estimation of covariance model and grid point standard deviations
- ITSG-Grace2018 solution as proxy for the new COST-G combination data set: Full variance-covariance matrices of Stokes coefficients are variance-propagated to grid





Case 2: Basin standard deviation

Model

ITSG



Conclusion

- New anisotropic, non-homogeneous, and non-stationary covariance model for gridded TWS data
- Covariance model represents well the spatial pattern of mean basin uncertainties in simulation environment
- High agreement in order of magnitude and spatial pattern between modelled uncertainties and uncertainties derived from full formal variance-covariance information

More details in: Boergens et al., Modelling spatial covariances for terrestrial water storage variations verified with synthetic GRACE-FO data (under revision in IJGE)



