



Englacial debris content of a Himalayan debris-covered glacier revealed by an optical televiewer

<u>Katie Miles</u>, Bryn Hubbard, Evan Miles, Duncan Quincey, Ann Rowan, Martin Kirkbride, Josephine Hornsey















University of Dundee

1. Motivation





Figure 1 – Labelled schematic of a debris-covered glacier (Khumbu Glacier, Nepal); Miles et al. (in review)

- Glaciers across High Mountain Asia provide water resources to huge populations
- Debris-covered glacier melt rate controlled by **spatially variable supraglacial debris layer**
- Supraglacial debris thickness controlled partly by englacial debris melt-out
- Englacial debris contents are **unknown** and simplified even in most sophisticated models

2. Method



- Four boreholes
 - Drilled by hot, pressurised water
 - Logged by optical televiewer
 (OPTV; a borehole-based camera)
- Four OPTV image logs
 - 360° high-resolution images
 - Total 345.5 m of glacier's interior
- Analysed for englacial debris



3. OPTV image sections & results





Mean borehole englacial debris concentrations (% by volume)

4. Influence on future melt rates

- Simple model projections, using OPTV-derived englacial debris concentrations:
 - To predict englacial debris melt-out and surface melt rates in the currently clean-ice upper ablation area (Fig. 3)
 - Show importance of vertical distribution of higher concentration englacial debris layers for future melt-out, predicting ~20 years of enhanced melt before supraglacial debris layer is thick enough to insulate the ice surface (black dotted line; Fig. 3A)
 - The variable debris content with depth (Fig. 3A) acts both to:
 - i) delay peak melt rate and time to insulation compared to a uniform mean debris content (Fig. 3B)
 - ii) speed up time to insulation compared to a low englacial debris content (not reached at all in Fig. 3C)



Figure 3 – Results from simple model projections; Miles et al. (submitted)