

EGU General Assembly Online 4- 8 May 2020



UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE MADRID





### Recurrence Quantification Techniques of vegetation time-series indices in semiarid grasslands

Andrés F. Almeida-Ñauñay, Rosa M. Benito, Miguel Quemada , Juan C. Losada, Ana M. Tarquis



7<sup>th</sup> of May, 2020

**Grassland accounts for 40% of the terrestrial earth surface** 

# Grassland soils play a significant role as the biggest terrestrial carbon sink

Their activity is tightly linked with hydroecological fluxes and terrain dynamics in river basins at a wide range of space-time scales

#### **REMOTE SENSING RELEVANCE**

Remote sensing techniques has been pointed out as a solution to monitoring grasslands in arid and semi-arid regions.



Channels reflectance are mathematically combined to form vegetation indices(VI)



NDVI is the most widely applied VI. Sensitivity to the effects of soil brightness



-eunte: https://www.ecoticias.com/energi enovables/133686/Sentinel-2-ya-vigila-el-l Ambiente-desde-el-espacio



httpwww.satimagingcorp.com/applica ions/natural-resources/agricultures///

#### **RECURRENCE ANALYSIS**

Recurrence plots are a simple way to visualize the periodic or chaotic behaviour of a dynamical system through its phase space. Several works have successfully applied recurrence plots and recurrence quantification analysis to VIs.



#### **RECURRENCE ANALYSIS**

**Sine series** 



White-noise series

\*Data obtained from: Zhao, Z., Liu, J., Peng, J., Li, S. & Wang, Y. 2015. Nonlinear features and complexity patterns of vegetation dynamics in the transition zone of North China. *Ecological Indicators*, **49**, 237–246,

#### **STUDY LOCATION**

This work have considered two grassland areas. (ZEA) located in Tornadizos de Ávila and (ZSO) situated in Soto Del Real.



#### **SOIL CHARACTERIZATION**

	Slope (%)	Height (m)	Silt (%)	Sand (%)	Clay (%)	Bulk Density (g/cm3)	рН	Organic Matter (%)	Water Holding Capacity (%)
ZEA	4.2 (± 1.1)	1290 (± 75)	20 (± 2)	60 (± 2)	20 (± 2)	1.3 (± 0.1)	6.5 (± 0.3)	3.8 (± 0.2)	14.4 (±1)
ZSO	4.7 (± 1.6)	958 (± 32)	18 (± 1)	76 (± 1)	6 (± 1)	1.6 (± 0.1)	5.6 (± 0.2)	3.0 (± 0.1)	11.1 (±1)





#### **VEGETATION INDICES AND PRECIPITATION**







#### **RESULTS**



#### DISCUSSION

	DET (%)	LT	ENTR	LAM (%)					
NDVI									
ZEA	41.23	2.95	0.93	53.55					
ZSO	47.86	2.90	<b>1</b> .02	60.01					
SAVI									
ZEA	64.19	3.04	<b>T</b> 1.25	76.79					
ZSO	48.34	<b>A</b> 3.58	0.90	58.27					
MSAVI									
ZEA	54.16	3.39	1.10	69.10					
ZSO	75.71	<b>A</b> 3.89	<b>1</b> .65	<b>8</b> 5.25					
ARTIFICIAL SERIES									
Stochastic series*	7.90	2.05	0.20	9.40					
Periodic series*	95.90	11.16	2.20	82.30					

\*Data obtained from: Zhao, Z., Liu, J., Peng, J., Li, S. & Wang, Y. 2015. Nonlinear features and complexity patterns of vegetation dynamics in the transition zone of North China. *Ecological Indicators*, **49**, 237–246,

#### CONCLUSIONS

The three vegetation indices used were suitable indicators to detect variations.

There is an important influence of the soil adjustment factor (L) on the VIs data dispersion.

The differences in the behaviours of the VIs between the two zones were explained based on the interactions of soil characteristics and precipitation regimens.

SAVI and MSAVI showed distinct temporal patterns in each location by the RPs method.

MSAVI was the most suitable index among the indices studied in semiarid pastures with higher determinism.



### Sponsored by:







#### Sustainable Agro-ecosystems

Carbon, nitrogen and water management to optimize crop production and food quality











A. F. Almeida-Ñauñay Rosa M. Benito Miguel Quemada Juan C. Losada Ana M. Tarquis



12

# Thank you for your attention!!