

EGU21-10331

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-10331>

EGU General Assembly 2021

© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Towards an improved understanding of nitrogen dioxide emissions from forest fires

Debora Griffin¹, Jack Chan¹, Enrico Dammers², Chris McLinden^{1,3}, Cristen Adams⁴, Ayodeji Akingunola¹, Paul Makar¹, Lukas Fehr³, Adam Bourassa³, Doug Degenstein³, Katherine Hayden¹, Sumi Wren¹, and John Liggi¹

¹Air Quality Research Division, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Toronto, Canada (debora.griffin@canada.ca)

²Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research (TNO), Utrecht, The Netherlands

³Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada

⁴Resource Stewardship Division, Government of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

Smoke from wildfires are a significant source of air pollution, which can adversely impact ecosystems and the air quality in downwind populated areas. With increasing severity of wildfires over the years, these are a significant threat to air quality in densely populated areas. Emissions from wildfires are most commonly estimated by a bottom-up approach, using proxies such fuel type, burn area, and emission factors. Emissions are also commonly derived with a top-down approach, using satellite observed Fire Radiative Power. Furthermore, wildfire emissions can also be estimated directly from satellite-borne measurements.

Here, we present advancements and improvements of direct emission estimates of forest fire NO_x emissions by using TROPOMI (Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument) high-resolution satellite datasets, including NO₂ vertical column densities (VCDs) and information on plume height and aerosol scattering. The effect of smoke aerosols on the sensitivity of TROPOMI to NO₂ (via air mass factors) is estimated with recalculated VCDs, and validated with aircraft observations. Different top-down emission estimation methods are tested on synthetic data to determine the accuracy, and the sensitivity to parameters, such as wind fields, satellite sampling, instrument noise, NO₂:NO_x conversion ratio, species atmosphere lifetime and plume spread. Lastly, the top-down, bottom-up and direct emission estimates of fire emissions are quantitatively compared.