

EGU21-2724, updated on 03 Dec 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-2724>

EGU General Assembly 2021

© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Towards an integrated study of urban CO₂ emissions

Lukas Pilz, Sanam Vardag, Ralph Kleinschek, Samuel Hammer, and André Butz

Heidelberg University, Institute for Environmental Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Heidelberg, Germany
(lukas.pilz@iup.uni-heidelberg.de)

High-resolution monitoring is the basis for CO₂ emissions tracking and attribution in urban areas. This work is an important step towards an integrated urban CO₂ emissions monitoring system. Three middle-cost nondispersive infrared (NDIR) sensors of 500€ to 3000€ are characterised. Furthermore, CO₂ emissions of large, regional point sources are simulated to analyse their effect on these sensors' signals.

The three sensors are Vaisala GMP343, Senseair HPP3 and SmartGas FlowEvo CO₂. Their analysis and characterisation is achieved by co-locating them with a Picarro G2401 cavity ringdown spectrometer for 40 days. Co-locating different middle-cost sensors is novel and enables a direct performance comparison. While the HPP3 is the only one to reach a 1 min mean standard deviation under 1 ppm, the GMP343 is the most linear and stable with a drift of $0.03(2) \times 10^{-1}$ ppm per day and the SmartGas sensor provides the best price-to-performance ratio. For all sensors, precisions (the 1 min mean error's lower bound) of under 0.8 ppm are determined. In general, temperature stabilisation turns out to be one of the most promising avenues of performance improvement for all sensors.

The sensors' in-situ measurements are combined with meso-scale meteorological simulations for the Rhine-Neckar region using the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF). In two case-studies, simulated excess CO₂ due to large, regional point sources and measured CO₂ concentration are compared. Both simulations show qualitative agreement with the measurements. The differences between measurements and simulation, however, highlight aspects to be refined. These include increasing the horizontal and vertical resolution of the simulation domain as well improving as the parametrisation of the planetary and urban boundary layer.