

EGU21-2975

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-2975>

EGU General Assembly 2021

© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Power-to-Gas-to-Power is a competitive excess energy subsurface storage technology

Natalie Nakaten¹, Thomas Kempka^{1,2}, and Michael Kühn^{1,2}

¹GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Geochemistry, Potsdam, Germany

²University of Potsdam, Institute of Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany

The underlying study addresses the ambitious German Federal Government's objectives for the transition to a new energy era by proposing the implementation of a low-carbon energy system, improving the electricity grid as well as solar and wind power initiatives. Hereby, the "Power-to-Gas-to-Power" (PGP) approach combines the storage of excess energy from renewable power sources in the form of synthetic hydrocarbons, and their subsequent utilisation in a closed cycle to produce low-carbon electricity [1]. Based on the availability of two adjacent subsurface storage formations for CO₂ and CH₄ [2], hydrogen gained from excess solar and/or wind power is transformed into methane by means of CO₂ captured on-site. When required, electricity is regained in a combined cycle plant by burning the CH₄, with CO₂ cycled in a closed loop.

In a show case study for the two German cities of Potsdam and Brandenburg, the PGP process chain was quantified to a total process efficiency of about 26%, exhibiting costs of 20 eurocent/kWh [2]. These previous assessments referring to energy production and storage technologies economics of the year 2012, have shown that PGP is generally economically competitive compared to conventional storage technologies [2]. Further results show that PGP can compete with global cost bandwidths of hydropower and compressed air storage as well as with upper limit COEs for solar thermal power and photovoltaic. However, PGP is not competitive compared to fossil fuel-based as well as onshore/offshore wind-based energy production [3]. However, cost trends related to energy production and storage technologies significantly correlate with fuel and commodity prices, CO₂ emission charges as well as technology improvements that have been rapidly changing in the past few years. Thus, the purpose of the present study is to update the previously published PGP costs and elaborate a general overview on the current status of PGP on the global energy market.

[1] Kühn, M. (2013): System and method for ecologically generating and storing electricity. - Patent WO 2013156611 A1:

[2] Streibel, M., Nakaten, N. C., Kempka, T., Kühn, M. (2013): Analysis of an Integrated Carbon Cycle for Storage of renewables. - Energy Procedia, 40, pp. 202-211. DOI:

[3] Kühn, M., Nakaten, N., Kempka, T. (2020): Geological storage capacity for green excess energy readily available in Germany. - Advances in Geosciences, 54, 173-178. DOI:

