

EGU21-4188, updated on 23 Jun 2021

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-4188>

EGU General Assembly 2021

© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Thermodynamic optimality principles in Earth sciences

Stanislaus J. Schymanski¹, Benjamin Dewals², Henk A. Dijkstra³, Hisashi Ozawa⁴, and Erwin Zehe⁵

¹Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology, ERIN, Catchment and eco-hydrology research group, Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg

²Hydraulics in Environmental & Civil Engineering, Urban & Environmental Engineering, University of Liege, Belgium

³Centre for Complex Systems Studies, Department of Physics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Japan

⁵Institute of Water Resources and River Basin Management, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology KIT, Karlsruhe, Germany

Ecohydrological systems are a result of long-term co-evolution of soils, biota and atmospheric conditions, and often respond to perturbations in non-intuitive ways. Their short-term responses can be explained and sometimes predicted if we understand the underlying dynamic processes and if we can observe the initial state precisely enough. However, how do they co-evolve in the long-term after a change in the boundary conditions? In 1922, Alfred Lotka hypothesised that the natural selection governing the evolution of biota and composition of ecosystems may be obeying some thermodynamic principles related to maximising energy flow through these systems. Similar thoughts have been formulated for various components of the Earth system and individual processes, such as heat transport in the atmosphere and oceans, erosion and sediment transport in river systems and estuaries, the formation of vegetation patterns, and many others. Different thermodynamic optimality principles have been applied to predict or explain a given system property or behaviour, of which the maximum entropy production and the maximum power principles are most widespread. However, the different studies did not use a common systematic approach for the formulation of the relevant system boundaries, state variables and exchange fluxes, resulting in considerable ambiguity about the application of thermodynamic optimality principles in the scientific community. Such a systematic framework has been developed recently and can be tested online at:

https://renkulab.io/projects/stanislaus.schymanski/thermodynamic_optimality_blueprint

In the present study, we illustrate how such a common framework can be used to classify and compare different applications of thermodynamic optimality principles in the literature, and discuss the insights gained and key criteria for a more rigorous testing of such principles.