

EGU21-515

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu21-515>

EGU General Assembly 2021

© Author(s) 2021. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Quantifying nitrous oxide emissions in space and time using static chambers and eddy covariance from a temperate grassland

Rachael Murphy^{1,2}, Karl Richards¹, Dominika Krol¹, Amanuel Gebremichael¹, Luis Lopez-Sangil¹, James Rambaud¹, Nicholas Cowan³, Gary Lanigan¹, and Matt Saunders²

¹Teagasc Research Johnstown Castle, Soils and Environment, Wexford, Ireland (gary.lanigan@teagasc.ie)

²Department of Botany, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland (SAUNDEM@tcd.ie)

³UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, UK (nicwan11@ceh.ac.uk)

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG), with a global warming potential (GWP) of 265 relative to carbon dioxide (CO₂) and a lifespan of over 100 years. Where N input from fertilizers exceeds plant demands, hotspots of N₂O can be produced releasing short-lived pulses of N₂O from the soil that exhibit disproportionately high rates of emissions relative to longer periods of time, known as hot moments. Hotspots and hot moments of N₂O are sensitive to changes in agricultural management and the environment making it difficult to accurately quantify N₂O emissions with low uncertainties. This study investigates the methods used to quantify N₂O emissions in time and space, using both static chambers (SC) and eddy covariance (EC) techniques. N₂O fluxes were measured from both techniques from an intensively managed grassland site under four fertilizer applications of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) in 2019. EC measurements of N₂O were gap-filled by using a simple linear empirical model that incorporated environmental and management data. SC N₂O fluxes were calculated using the arithmetic method and Bayesian statistics via Markov Chain Monte-Carlo (MCMC) simulations to account for the log-normal distribution of fluxes measured. N₂O emissions were weakest in winter for both techniques (-3.27 µg N₂O-N m⁻² hr⁻¹ for SC and -3.9 µg N₂O-N m⁻² hr⁻¹ for EC). Following fertilizer application, daily averaged N₂O emissions peaked in March (538.89 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for SC, 491.18 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for EC) and April (117.91 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for SC and 306.90 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for EC). Delayed peaks in N₂O emissions following fertilizer application occurred in June (101.03 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for SC and 814.76 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for EC) and October (417.14 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for SC and 313.22 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹ for EC) and these high emissions events coincided with dry periods followed by rainfall events. EC and SC measurements were most comparable when emissions were > 115 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹, when the flux footprint of half-hourly EC flux measurements overlapped with the position and time of SC measurements and when the number of chamber replicates were ≥ 15 on a given sampling day. Where the chamber sample size was small (n ≤ 5), the Bayesian method produced large uncertainties (> 25,000 µg m⁻² hr⁻¹) due to the inability to constrain an arithmetic mean from a log-normally distributed data set. Annual cumulative N₂O fluxes from EC and SC by the arithmetic and Bayesian method, were 3.35(± 0.5) kg N ha⁻¹, 2.98 (± 0.17) kg N ha⁻¹ and 3.13 (± 0.24) kg N ha⁻¹ respectively. Emission factors from EC and SC by the arithmetic and Bayesian method were higher than the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) default value of 1%, at 1.46%, 1.30%

and 1.36%, respectively. Our study highlights that disparities exist between SC and EC in quantifying N₂O fluxes from a managed grassland and we recommend constraining disparities by utilizing a SC sample size > 5 and by accounting for the log-normal distribution of N₂O flux data to accurately estimate N₂O flux uncertainty.