

EGU22-1266

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-1266>

EGU General Assembly 2022

© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



Modelling nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soil incubation experiments using CoupModel

Jie Zhang¹, Wenxin Zhang², Per-Erik Jansson³, and Søren O. Petersen¹

¹Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Tjele, Denmark (sop@agro.au.dk)

²Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden (wenxin.zhang@nateko.lu.se)

³Department of Land and Water Resources Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden (pej@kth.se)

To develop good mitigation strategies, estimates of nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions from agricultural soils are needed. Process-based biogeochemical models have been used for such estimation but those have mainly been tested on field scaled measurement. Here we will explore how experimentally laboratory measurements can be used to improve future model use. Based on a series of 43 days incubation experiments and a process model (CoupModel), we assessed the model's sensitivity and uncertainty in estimating N₂O fluxes, CO₂ fluxes and soil mineral N. Our results suggested that the most sensitive parameters to N₂O flux estimates were related to the decomposability of soil organic matter and related links to the denitrification processes. The model showed better performance in simulating low-magnitude daily and cumulative N₂O fluxes but a tendency to underestimate the fluxes as observed values increased. Residual analysis indicated that nitrification rate could be underestimated but did not sufficiently explain the model deviations. We also evaluated ancillary variables regarding N cycling, which indicates that additional types of observed data including soil oxygen concentrations and the sources of emitted N₂O, are required to evaluate model performance and possible biases. The modeled response to abiotic factors (e.g. soil moisture) did not reflect the measured values using consistent parameter sets, limiting the model application under constantly changing environmental conditions in reality. To conclude, the restricted description of N cycling process in the model may not be able to consistently simulate the denitrification and nitrification processes behind N₂O emissions and limits the extension of models beyond calibration. This calls for more frequent and more aspects of measurements in future experimental design for model evaluation and development. For the development of process models including CoupModel, there is a need to address crucial missing processes including solute diffusion and microscale heterogeneity, revisit current subroutines of moisture response functions and denitrifier growth dynamics, and report more aspects of simulated outputs for prediction and model.