

EGU22-5501

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-5501>

EGU General Assembly 2022

© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



PM_{2.5} and NO₂ exposure in different vehicle cabins with standard pollen and activated carbon filters

Vasileios Matthaios^{1,2}, Daniel Rooney¹, Nicole Cowell¹, Roy Harrison^{1,3}, Petros Koutrakis², and William Bloss¹

¹School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Science, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK
(v.matthaios@bham.ac.uk)

²T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, USA

³Department of Environmental Sciences, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Vehicle cabins are confined spaces where air quality can easily be reduced or improved by a combination of: ventilation settings, cabin air filter and route choice. By regulating the indoor-outdoor exchange rate using the vehicle's ventilation system or by opening and closing windows, occupants have the ability to self-control their exposure to both indoor and outdoor pollutants. Another important intervention to improve within-vehicle exposure to air pollution is to apply and regularly change the correct cabin air filters. Standard pollen cabin filters typically reduce small particles (i.e. fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5})) from entering the vehicle's interior, effectively capturing pollen, dust, mould spores and debris, while activated carbon filters can additionally absorb some gases such as nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).

This study evaluated the impact of standard pollen and activated charcoal cabin filters on PM_{2.5} and NO₂ exposure inside 10 vehicle cabins during real-world operation in Birmingham, UK. We examined five gasoline, two diesel, two hybrid and one electric vehicle on a consistent driving route on weekdays between 11:00-13:00, where PM_{2.5} and NO₂ levels were measured simultaneously inside and outside of the cabin using two cross-calibrated optical particle sizer and chemiluminescent analysers respectively.

Using the appropriate ventilation settings in-cabin PM_{2.5} were significantly ($p < 0.05$) reduced by up to 80±8.2% relative to the on-road levels. This reduction was similar for both standard pollen and activated carbon filters. No significant reductions of in-cabin NO₂ relative to the on-road NO₂ levels were found with the use of new standard pollen filters, with reductions ranging from 5.8±3.9 to 12.6±4.6%. Using new activated carbon filters, we found significant ($p < 0.05$) reductions of within-vehicle NO₂ concentrations relative to those measured on-road (reductions ranging from 86.1±4.7 to 94.3±3.2%). The reduction achieved remained significant when fresh air was coming into the cabin but under different fan power (medium, full) highlighting to importance of the new activated carbon filter in reducing within-vehicle NO₂ exposure. Three of the ten cars were also tested again after three months (or after 2,800-3,400 km) of the initial implementation of the new charcoal filter and the within-vehicle cabin NO₂ reductions remained almost equivalent to the initial performance (81.5±3.4 – 90.7±1.1%). In Europe 56% of the population use cars as their main transportation

mean on the daily basis, therefore, employing the appropriate cabin filter can significantly reduce PM_{2.5} and NO₂ levels. These exposure reductions and the resulting health benefits may be greater amongst professional drivers.