

EGU22-9897, updated on 14 Aug 2022

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu22-9897>

EGU General Assembly 2022

© Author(s) 2022. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



The relationship between the global mean deep-sea and surface temperature during the Early Eocene

Barbara Goudsmit^{1,2}, Angelique Lansu³, Anna S. von der Heydt⁴, Yurui Zhang⁵, and Martin Ziegler²

¹Department of Estuarine & Delta Systems, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Yerseke, The Netherlands

²Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

³Department of Environmental Sciences, Open Universiteit, Heerlen, Netherlands

⁴Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁵State Key Laboratory of Marine Environmental Science, College of Ocean & Earth Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Under continued high anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, the atmospheric CO₂ concentration around 2100 will be like that of the Early Eocene Climate Optimum (EECO, 56–48 Ma) hothouse period. Hence, reconstructions of the EECO climate give insight into the workings of the climate system under the possible future CO₂ conditions. Our current understanding of global mean surface temperature (GMST) during the Cenozoic era relies on paleo-proxy estimates of deep-sea temperature (DST) combined with assumed relationships between global mean DST (GMDST), global mean sea-surface temperature (GMSST), and GMST. The validity of these assumptions is essential in our understanding of past and future climate states under hothouse conditions.

We analyse the relationship between these global temperature indicators for the end-of-simulation global mean temperature values in 25 different millennia-long model simulations of the EECO climate under varying CO₂ levels, performed as part of the Deep-Time Model Intercomparison Project (DeepMIP). The model simulations show limited spatial variability in DST, indicating that local DST estimates can be regarded representative of GMDST. Linear regression analysis indicates that GMDST and GMST respond stronger to changes in atmospheric CO₂ than GMSST by factors 1.18 and 1.17, respectively. Consequently, the responses of GMDST and GMST to atmospheric CO₂ changes are similar in magnitude. This model-based analysis indicates that changes in GMDST can be used to estimate changes in GMST during the EECO, validating the assumed relationships. To test the robustness of these results, other Cenozoic climate states besides EECO should be analysed similarly.