



Consequences of Fault Reactivation on Subsurface Flow in Crystalline Rock

Dominik Kern¹, Christian B. Silbermann¹, Fabien Magri^{2,3}, Rebekka Steffen⁴, Holger Steffen⁴, and Thomas Nagel¹

¹TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Institute for Geotechnics, Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Freiberg, Germany (dominik.kern1@ifgt.tu-freiberg.de)

²Division Research / International, BASE, The Federal Office for the Safety of Nuclear Waste Management, Berlin, Germany

³Institute of Geological Sciences, Hydrogeology Group, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany

⁴Geodetic Infrastructure, Lantmäteriet, Sweden

Important aspects for subsurface installations, such as Deep Geological Repositories (DGRs), in crystalline rock are the presence and evolution of fractures and faults, since they control the subsurface flow regime. According to climate extrapolation, it is expected that cold and warm period will alternate, accompanied by ice sheet progression and regression. The large moving mass of an ice sheet causes a dynamic response of the earth's crust, referred to as glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA) [1]. GIA changes the displacement and stress field not only under and near the ice sheet but also in its far-field. In view of the long-term assessments, we apply boundary conditions derived from an established GIA model [2] in order to analyze induced far-field stress and pore pressure changes and their impacts on existing faults in a hydromechanical simulation. As indicator for permeability changes we apply the Coulomb failure stress criteria. To quantify the consequences on the subsurface flow we run a component transport simulation before and another after the fault reactivation, revealing how the faults canalize the radionuclid propagation. For both kinds of simulations, hydromechanical and component transport, we apply Finite-Element methods (FEM) [3].

The INFRA project is funded by the DFG under grants NA1528/2-1 and MA4450/5-1.

[1] Holger Steffen, Patrick Wu. "Glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia - a review of data and modeling". *Journal of geodynamics* 52.3-4, p. 169-204, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2011.03.002>

[2] Georg Kaufmann. "Program package ICEAGE". Manuscript, Institut für Geophysik der Universität Göttingen, vol. 40. p. 840, 2004.

[3] OpenGeoSys 6.4.3. Lars Bilke, Thomas Fischer, Dmitri Naumov, Christoph Lehmann, Wenqing Wang, Renchao Lu, Boyan Meng, Karsten Rink, Norbert Grunwald, Jörg Buchwald, Christian Silbermann, Robert Habel, Linda Günther, Mostafa Mollaali, Tobias Meisel, Jakob Randow, Sophia Einspänner, Haibing Shao, Kata Kurgys, Olaf Kolditz, Jaime Garibay. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7092676>

