

EGU23-8372, updated on 24 Apr 2024 https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-egu23-8372 EGU General Assembly 2023 © Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



## Why atmospheric methane surged in 2020?

**Shushi Peng**<sup>1</sup>, Xin Lin<sup>2</sup>, Rona Thompson<sup>3</sup>, Yi Xi<sup>1</sup>, Gang Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xin Lan<sup>4,5</sup>, Didier Hauglustaine<sup>2</sup>, Benjamin Poulter<sup>6</sup>, Michel Ramonet<sup>2</sup>, Marielle Saumois<sup>2</sup>, Yi Yin<sup>7</sup>, Zhen Zhang<sup>8</sup>, Bo Zheng<sup>9,10</sup>, and Philippe Ciais<sup>2,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Peking University, Sino-French Institute for Earth System Science, College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE/IPSL, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay 91191 Gifsur-Yvette, France

<sup>3</sup>Norwegian Institute for Air Research (NILU), Kjeller, Norway

<sup>4</sup>Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences of University of Colorado Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>5</sup>NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, CO, USA

<sup>6</sup>Biospheric Sciences Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

<sup>7</sup>Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

<sup>9</sup>Institute of Environment and Ecology, Tsinghua Shenzhen International Graduate School, Tsinghua University, Shenzhen, China

<sup>10</sup>State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Sources and Control of Air Pollution Complex, Beijing, China

<sup>11</sup>Climate and Atmosphere Research Center (CARE-C), The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) levels in the atmosphere increased by 15.1  $\pm$  0.4 ppb in 2020, the highest annual increase from 1984 to 2020, despite a likely decrease in anthropogenic CH₄ emissions during COVID-19 confinements. Here, we used bottom-up and top-down methods to quantify the changes in different sources of CH<sub>4</sub>, and in its atmospheric sink due to the hydroxyl radical (OH) in 2020 compared to 2019. Bottom-up methods showed that, globally, total anthropogenic emissions slightly decreased by ~1.2 Tg  $CH_4$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, fire emissions were lower than in 2019 by ~6.5 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>, and wetland emissions increased by 6.0  $\pm$  2.3 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>. In addition to higher wetland emissions in 2020 than 2019 from bottom-up, we found a decrease of 1.6–1.8% in tropospheric OH concentration relative to 2019, mainly due to lower anthropogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and associated lower free tropospheric ozone during the confinements. Based on atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> observations from the surface network, and considering the decrease in OH, using top-down inversions, we infer that global net emissions increased by 6.9  $\pm$  2.1 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup> in 2020 relative to 2019, while the global CH<sub>4</sub> removal from reaction with OH in the atmosphere decreased in 2020 by 7.5  $\pm$  0.8 Tg CH<sub>4</sub> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, we attribute the positive growth rate anomaly of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> in 2020 relative to 2019 to lower OH sink ( $53 \pm 10\%$ ) and higher natural emissions ( $47 \pm 16\%$ ), mostly from wetlands. Warmer and wetter climate conditions in the Northern Hemisphere promoted wetland emissions, but fires decreased in the Southern Hemisphere, compared to the previous year. Our study highlights that northern microbial emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> are highly

sensitive to a warmer and wetter climate and could act as a positive feedback in the future. Our study also hints that the global  $CH_4$  pledge must be implemented by taking into account  $NO_x$  emissions trend, whose reduction lengthens the lifetime of atmospheric  $CH_4$ .