



Why is the pesticide endosulfan not disappearing from the global environment after ban?

Gerhard Lammel^{1,2}, Paulo C. Alarcon¹, Mohsen Padervand^{1,3}, Ulrich Pöschl¹, and Cornelius Zetzsch^{1,4}

¹Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Germany (g.lammel@mpic.de)

²RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

³Department of Chemistry, University of Maragheh, Maragheh, Iran

⁴Atmospheric Chemistry Research Unit, University of Bayreuth, Bayreuth, Germany

Endosulfan is a persistent organochlorine pesticide that was globally distributed before it was banned in 2013, and it continues to cycle in the Earth system. The chemical kinetics of the gas-phase reaction of α -endosulfan with the hydroxyl radical (OH) was studied by means of pulsed vacuum UV flash photolysis and time resolved resonance fluorescence (FP-RF) as $k_{\text{OH}} = 5.8 \times 10^{-11} e^{(-1960 \text{ K/T})} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ with an uncertainty range of $7 \times 10^{-12} e^{(-1210 \text{ K/T})}$ to $4 \times 10^{-10} e^{(-2710 \text{ K/T})} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$. This corresponds to an estimated photochemical atmospheric half-life in the range of 3-12 months, which is much longer than previously assumed (days to weeks).

Comparing the atmospheric concentrations observed after the global ban of endosulfan with environmental multimedia model predictions, we find that photochemical degradation in the atmosphere is slower than biodegradation in soil or water, and that the latter limits the total environmental lifetime of endosulfan. We conclude that the lifetimes typically assumed for soil and aquatic systems are likely underestimated and should be revisited, in particular for temperate and warm climates. Moreover, the pollutant may persist in soil and sediment burdens disconnected from compartmental interfaces.