



Added Value of Earth Observation Constraints for Multi-Model Drought Detection in the Rhine Basin

Ehsan Modiri¹, Oldrich Rakovec^{1,2}, Pallav Kumar Shrestha¹, Almudena García-García^{3,4}, Leandro Avila⁵, Katie Blackford⁶, Elizabeth Cooper⁶, Bram Droppers⁷, Paolo Filippucci⁸, Milan Fischer⁹, Matěj Orság⁹, Pietro Stradiotti¹⁰, Luca Brocca⁸, Douglas Clark⁶, Wouter Dorigo¹⁰, Stefan Kollet⁵, Jian Peng^{3,4}, Niko Wanders⁷, and Luis Samaniego^{1,11}

¹1. Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Computational Hydrosystems, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

²Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Praha-Suchbát 16500, Czech Republic

³Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Remote Sensing, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

⁴Institute for Earth System Science and Remote Sensing, Leipzig University, Leipzig, Germany

⁵Institute of Bio- and Geosciences Agrosphere (IBG-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52428, Jülich, Germany

⁶UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, United Kingdom

⁷Department of Physical Geography, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80.115, 3508 TC, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁸National Research Council of Italy, Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological Protection, Perugia, Italy

⁹Global Change Research Institute CAS, Brno, Czech Republic

¹⁰Department of Geodesy and Geoinformation, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

¹¹Institute of Environmental Science and Geography, University of Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476, Potsdam, Germany

Reliable characterisation of soil-moisture drought is critical for water management, yet hydrological models can diverge substantially because of parametric uncertainty [1] even when forced with identical meteorology. This work is conducted within the ESA 4DHydro initiative (<https://4dhydro.eu/>) and builds on our EO-constrained parameter estimation framework [2]. We assess whether Earth Observation (EO) data reduce this divergence using a four-model ensemble (CLM, JULES, mHM, PCR-GLOBWB) over the Rhine Basin. We compare three parameter estimation strategies: (i) a non-EO baseline using default model configurations, (ii) EO-only calibration using satellite soil moisture (SM) and evapotranspiration (ET), and (iii) a hybrid EO+Q calibration combining EO constraints with streamflow (Q).

The latter ensures both spatial pattern matching of EO-derived SM, ET, and water balance closure. For the major droughts of 2015, 2018, and 2019, EO-only calibration notably reduces inter-model spread and strengthens the detection of extreme dry conditions, improving ensemble agreement by up to ~0.09 in extreme-event cases. Joint SM+ET calibration provides the best trade-off between sensitivity to extremes and ensemble stability across models.

The EO+Q strategy yields the highest temporal skill, including station-scale improvements (e.g.,

RMSE reductions of ~ 0.02 and correlation gains of ~ 0.06 in independent validation), but also exposes larger between-model differences, especially in Alpine headwaters where snow and glacier processes remain challenging. Overall, EO constraints can meaningfully tighten multi-model drought estimates, while also highlighting persistent structural uncertainties that should be communicated in operational drought early-warning systems.

References:

[1] Samaniego, L., Kumar, R. and Attinger, S., 2013. Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-based hydrologic model at the mesoscale. *Journal of Hydrology*, 476, pp.253–265.

[2] Modiri, E. et al., 2026. Toward improved soil moisture drought representation through Earth Observation constrained parameter estimation: A multi-model ensemble analysis over the Rhine River basin. *Water Resources Research*, 62, W04401. doi:10.1029/2025WR038888.