



Extending a dry turbulence scheme towards all moist aspects – main challenges, guidelines for maintaining consistency and practical solutions

Jean-François Geleyn (1), Ivan Bašták Ďurán (2), and Pascal Marquet (1)

(1) CNRM, Météo-France, Toulouse, France (jean-francois.geleyn@meteo.fr / pascal.marquet@meteo.fr), (2) ONPP, CHMI, Prague, Czech Republic (ivan.bastak@chmi.cz)

Provided the ‘fully dry’ (without water vapour) solution ensures consistency between its own particular aspects, extending as simply as possible a turbulence scheme to the moist case looks like the mere juxtaposition of four problems. First, one should filter out the role of condensation/evaporation for the heat and moisture diffusion solver (Betts’ old proposal is here still the solution). Second, one should provide a closure assumption for the shallow-convection parameterisation. Third, one should define the right equivalent to the TPE (proportional to $\langle \theta'^2 \rangle$ in the fully dry case) so that both contributions of the conversion term cancel out in the budget of $TTE = TKE + TPE$. Fourth, one should translate the cross-correlation aspects of moisture and heat sub-grid transport into a unique definition for their vertical exchange coefficient K_h .

However, this vision is partly misleading since it relies on finding a convenient equivalent to θ for each particular algorithm. Yet, as soon as moisture appears (even without clouds), the buoyancy and conservation roles of θ , condensed in $N^2 = (g/\theta)d\theta/dz$, cannot anymore be played by a unique quantity (Marquet and Geleyn, 2013). Hence a careful analysis of the respective roles of moist equivalent variables (θ_l and q_t), specific moist entropy θ_s (Marquet, 2011), buoyancy term contributions as function of partial cloud cover and energy budget terms is needed for a fully consistent moist extension. On the basis of a newly developed dry case framework (Bašták Ďurán et al., 2014) we propose here a possible solution for the transversal above challenges. It relies on three concepts: (i) the shallow-convection closure must mimic how nature seems privileging q_t transport for the $TPE \leftrightarrow TKE$ conversion; (ii) the TTE and buoyancy flux formulations must be each other’s mirrors; (iii) the K_h derivation should combine the stability effects traced by θ_s and the maintenance of the TTE conservation rule.