



EMS Annual Meeting Abstracts
Vol. 20, EMS2023-229, 2023, updated on 07 Aug 2024
<https://doi.org/10.5194/ems2023-229>
EMS Annual Meeting 2023
© Author(s) 2024. This work is distributed under
the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.



The EUPPBench postprocessing benchmark dataset

Jonathan Demaeyer^{1,2}, Jonas Bhend³, Sebastian Lerch⁴, Cristina Primo⁵, Bert Van Schaeybroeck¹, Aitor Atencia⁶, Zied Ben Bouallègue⁷, Jieyu Chen⁴, Markus Dabernig⁶, Gavin Evans⁸, Jana Faganeli Pucer⁹, Ben Hooper⁸, Nina Horat⁴, David Jobst¹⁰, Janko Merše¹¹, Peter Mlakar^{9,11}, Annette Möller¹², Olivier Mestre^{13,14}, Maxime Taillardat^{13,14}, and Stéphane Vannitsem^{1,2}

¹Royal Meteorological Institute, Climatological Research, Brussels, Belgium (jodemaey@meteo.be)

²European Meteorological Network (EUMETNET), Brussels, Belgium

³Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss, Zurich, Switzerland

⁴Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany

⁵Deutscher Wetterdienst, Offenbach, Germany

⁶GeoSphere Austria, Vienna, Austria

⁷European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, United Kingdom

⁸Met Office, Exeter, United Kingdom

⁹University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Computer and Information Science, Slovenia

¹⁰University of Hildesheim, Hildesheim, Germany

¹¹Slovenian Environment Agency, Ljubljana, Slovenia

¹²Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany

¹³CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France

¹⁴Météo-France, Toulouse, France

Statistical postprocessing of forecasts produced by numerical weather prediction systems is an important part of modern weather forecasting systems.

Since the beginning of modern data science, numerous postprocessing methods have been proposed, and one of the questions that frequently arises is the relative performance of the methods for a given specific task.

However, a comprehensive, community-driven comparison of their relative performance is yet to be established. One of the main reasons for this lack is the difficulty of constructing a common comprehensive dataset that can be used to perform such intercomparison.

Here we introduce the first version of the EUPPBench, a dataset of time-aligned medium-range forecasts and observations over Central Europe, with the aim to facilitate and standardize the intercomparison of postprocessing methods. This dataset is publicly available [1], includes station and gridded data based on the ECMWF model forecasts [2]. The initial dataset is the basis of an ongoing project to establish a benchmarking platform for postprocessing of medium-range weather forecasts. We showcase a first benchmark of several methods for the adjustment of near-surface temperature forecasts and outline the future plans for the benchmark project activities.

[1] <https://github.com/EUPP-benchmark/climetlab-eumetnet-postprocessing-benchmark>

[2] Demaeyer, J., Bhend, J., Lerch, S., Primo, C., Van Schaeybroeck, B., Atencia, A., Ben Bouallègue, Z., Chen, J., Dabernig, M., Evans, G., Faganeli Pucer, J., Hooper, B., Horat, N., Jobst, D., Merše, J., Mlakar, P., Möller, A., Mestre, O., Taillardat, M., and Vannitsem, S.: The EUPPBench postprocessing benchmark dataset v1.0, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.* [preprint], <https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2022-465>, in review, 2023.