EPSC Abstracts Vol. 6, EPSC-DPS2011-569, 2011 EPSC-DPS Joint Meeting 2011 © Author(s) 2011



Mars Exploration Plan B

W Alan Delamere Delamere Space Sciences, Boulder CO USA, (alan@delamere.biz / Fax: 303-448-0931)

Abstract

The current Mars Exploration program is yielding phenomenal results but the future program is dominated by return of samples. The cost of returning samples has soared in recent years to the detriment of the overall Mars program. To ensure that truly worth samples are returned, it is recommended that Mars is further explored and that key new technologies are developed.

1. Current Program

Three spacecraft and one rover continue to provide a flow of detailed Martian data for analysis. The data return from Mars is providing inspiration to hundreds of young scientists throughout the world. The Mars Science Lander will be launched latter this year and will explore sites, carefully mapped from orbit, for interesting mineralogy. The Mars Scout mission, MAVEN, will be launched in 2014 and will explore the loss of the Martian Atmosphere. The joint ESA/NASA mission Mars Trace Gas Orbiter will look for gases in the atmosphere that will give understanding of earlier atmosphere composition and look for release of gases from surface vents

2. Finding Valuable samples

With the cost of sample return escalating, how do we make sure that the samples that are return as worth the expenditures? Currently, we have Martian samples that have been ejected from Mars and have landed on Earth. The problem is we did not select the samples and so do not know where they came from. On earth a geologist walks the terrain, selects a rock, breaks it open, sniffs and licks it and throws it away most times! We have to do the same process robotically. For MSL, we are doing an excellent job of selecting the landing site with the potential of reaching the interesting rocks. Does MSL have enough instrumentation to select the rock, break it open, sniff and lick it? Whatever else happens, we will learn from the MSL experience on how to do the selection better. The really jewel of a sample is one with some fossils in it. If there are fossils on Mars, where do we find them? An easy question to ask and a difficult one to answer without more data.

3. New Measurements needed

MRO instrumentation has opened our eyes to the Martian surface and sub-surface. HiRISE has provided surface morphology at the meter scale, CRISM mineralogy at the 30m scale and SHARAD the sub-surface at the 20km scale to depths of about 1km.

These measurements are excellent for the MSL mission so why is this not enough? HiRISE has shown that it's color channels are showing mineral variation at the few meter scale. To find the right sample return site we need mineral data at the meter scale. A Mineralogical Explorer with 2 to 5m scale resolution and a very high signal to noise will enable recognition of minerals at the meter scale using sub-pixel un-mixing technology.

MARSIS and SHARAD instruments have given tantalizing glimpses of the Mars sub-surface. Unfortunately both instruments are flying of spacecraft that dramatically limit their performance. This type of instrumentation needs to fly on a dedicated spacecraft to map buried ice, rivers and liquid layers.

Both these missions would help identify sites with the potential of long term development of life forms.

4. New Technology needed

Mars exploration is currently limited to the use of the "Viking" aeroshell and the resulting landing ellipse.

The MAX C mission as planned could only land at below 1km and between latitudes 30 N and 30S. This limitation only presents 7% of Mars for finding our "valuable sample" site.

Two technologies can enable us to land anywhere on Mars, a different aeroshell and pin point landing. Use of a shuttle-like aeroshell (mid L/D) will enable flying through the atmosphere to enable a pinpoint landing.

6. Plan B An Alternative Mars Program

- Analyze the existing and future Mars data to optimize future exploration. (Give our bright young scientists the opportunity to have a long term research career)
- Fly a Mineralogical Explorer CRISM-type imaging spectrometer with 2 to5 m/pixel spatial resolution and very high signal to noise performance. 2020?
- Fly a Sub-surface Sounder Mission to map buried ice, rivers and liquid layers. 2022?
- Demonstrate the technology to land a heavy payload next to a given rock in the southern highlands. (Can this be done at very low cost? Lead brick? X-prize? Shuttle aeroshell at Mars?) 2024?
- Develop a second generation of sample analysis instrumentation based on MSL experience. 2016-2024?
- Complete data analysis and **then** plan a sample return mission 2025?

Conclusions

- Forget about caching missions for the next decade
- Implement the two suggested missions via the Mars Scout process
- Replace the Viking aeroshell technology ASAP as it compromises landed missions.