EPSC Abstracts Vol. 5, EPSC2010-610, 2010 European Planetary Science Congress 2010 © Author(s) 2010



## Juno and General Relativity

L. Iorio

F.R.A.S. Viale Unità di Italia 68, 70125, Bari (BA) Italy (lorenzo.iorio@libero.it)

Abstract

The recently approved Juno mission will orbit Jupiter for one year in a highly eccentric  $(r_{\min} = 1.06R_{Jup})$ ,  $r_{\rm max} = 39 R_{\rm Jup}$ ) polar orbit (I = 90 deg) to accurately map, among other things, the jovian magnetic and gravitational fields. Such an orbital configuration yields an ideal situation, in principle, to attempt a measurement of the general relativistic Lense-Thirring effect through the Juno's node  $\Omega$  which would be displaced by about 570 m over the mission's duration. Conversely, by assuming the validity of general relativity, the proposed test can be viewed as a direct, dynamical measurement of the Jupiter's angular momentum S which would give important information concerning the internal structure and formation of the giant planet. The long-period orbital perturbations due to the zonal harmonic coefficients  $J_{\ell}, \ell = 2, 3, 4, 6$ of the multipolar expansion of the jovian gravitational potential accounting for its departures from spherical symmetry are, in principle, a major source of systematic bias. While the Lense-Thirring node rate is independent of the inclination I, the node zonal perturbations vanish for I = 90. In reality, the orbit injection errors will induce departures  $\delta i$  from the ideal polar geometry, so that, according to a conservative analytical analysis, the zonal perturbations may come into play at an unacceptably high level, in spite of the expected improvements in the low-degree zonals by Juno. A linear combination of  $\Omega$ , the periJove  $\omega$ and the mean anomaly  $\mathcal{M}$  cancels out the impact of  $J_2$  and  $J_6$ . A two orders of magnitude improvement in the uncanceled  $J_3$  and  $J_4$  would be needed to reduce their bias on the relativistic signal to the percent level; it does not seem unrealistic because the expected level of improvement in such zonals is three orders of magnitude. More favorable conclusions are obtained by looking at single Doppler range-rate measurements taken around the closest approaches to Jupiter; numerical simulations of the classical and gravito-magnetic signals for this kind of observable show that a 0.2-5%accuracy would be a realistic goal.

## 1 Perspectives in testing General Relativity with the Juno mission

The node  $\Omega$  of Juno, a recently approved spacecraft aimed to orbit Jupiter along a highly eccentric ( $r_{\min} = 1.06R_{\text{Jup}}$ ,  $r_{\max} = 39R_{\text{Jup}}$ ), polar (I = 90 deg) trajectory during one year to accurately map, among other things, its gravitational field, will be displaced by the general relativistic gravito-magnetic Lense-Thirring effect by about 572 m over the entire duration of the mission.

We, first, explored the possibility of a high accuracy measurement of such an effect by performing analytical calculations and interpreting them in a rather conservative fashion. A meter-level accuracy in determining the jovicentric orbit of Juno should not be an unrealistic goal to be reached. Equivalently, the gravitomagnetic node precession of Juno amounts to 68.5 mas  $yr^{-1}$ , while the accuracy in measuring its node and periJove precessions should be of the order of 0.5 - 1mas  $yr^{-1}$ , given the expected improvements in our knowledge of the departure of the jovian gravitational field from spherical symmetry. If the Juno's orbit was perfectly polar, the long-period node precessions induced by the zonal harmonics  $J_{\ell}, \ell = 2, 3, 4, 6, \dots$  of the non-spherical jovian gravitational potential would vanish, thus removing a major source of systematic alias on the Lense-Thirring secular precession. In reality, unavoidable orbit injection errors will displace the orbital plane of Juno from the ideal polar geometry; as a consequence, the mis-modeled part of the node zonal precessions would overwhelm the relativistic signal for just  $\delta I = \pm 1$  deg, in spite of the expected improvements in  $J_{\ell}, \ell = 2, 4, 6$  of three orders of magnitude. A suitable linear combination of the node, the periJove  $\omega$  and the mean anomaly  $\mathcal M$  will allow to cancel out the effect of  $J_2$  and  $J_6$ ; the remaining uncanceled  $J_3$  and  $J_4$  will have an impact on the Lense-Thirring combined precessions which should be reduced down to the percent level or better by the improved low-degree zonals.

Instead of looking at the cumulative, secular effects over the entire duration of the mission, we also

followed an alternative approach by looking at single Doppler range-rate measurements over time spans six hours long centered on the the probe's closest approaches to Jupiter; it turned out that, in this way, the perspectives are even more favorable. We numerically simulated the characteristic Lense-Thirring pattern for a single science pass by finding a maximum value of the order of hundreds  $\mu m s^{-1}$ , while the expected precision level in Juno's Doppler measurements is of the order of one  $\mu m s^{-1}$ . Thus, by exploiting about 25 of the planned 33 total passes of the mission it would be possible to reach a measurement accuracy below the percent level. We repeated our numerical analysis also for the Doppler range-rate signals of  $J_2$  and  $J_4$  by finding quite different patterns with respect to the gravitomagnetic one; moreover, for a level of mismodeling of the order of  $2 - 3 \times 10^{-10}$  in such zonals the maximum value of their biasing Doppler signals is about  $1 - 1.5 \ \mu m \ s^{-1}$ . Our numerical analysis also shows that a departure from the nominal polar orbital geometry as large as 1 deg would not compromise the successful outcome of the measurement of interest, contrary to the conservative conclusions of our analytical analysis. Thus, this approach shows that there is not a high correlation between the Lense-Thirring parameter and the jovian gravity field parameters, although a covariance analysis would be needed to prove it. However, such a covariance analysis is outside the scope of the present paper.

## 2 Conclusions

In conclusion, the potential error in the proposed Juno Lense-Thirring measurement is between 0.2 and 5 percent. Conversely, if one assumes the existence of gravito-magnetism as predicted by general relativity, the proposed measurement can also be considered as a direct, dynamical determination of the jovian proper angular momentum S by means of the Lense-Thirring effect at the percent level.