Radar Subsurface Sounding over the Putative Frozen Sea in Cerberus Palus, Mars

R. Orosei (1), G. Alberti (2), L. Castaldo (2), M. Cutigni (2), A. Ferro (3), A. Frigeri (4), L. Travaglini (2) and A. Valle (5)
(1) Istituto di Fisica dello Spazio Interplanetario, Italy, (2) Consorzio di Ricerca su Sistemi di Telesensori Avanzati, Italy, (3) Università di Trento, Italy, (4) Università di Perugia, Italy, (5) Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Italy (roberto.orosei@ifsi-roma.inaf.it / Fax: +39-06-49934383)

Abstract

The area of Mars known as Cerberus Palus, suspected of harboring a frozen body of water, has been observed by the two subsurface sounding radar MARSIS and SHARAD. SHARAD data reveal subsurface interfaces at depths ranging from ≈50 m to ≈150 m which could be interpreted as either the bottom of an ice sheet lying over bedrock, or an interface between two lava flows. Echoes have been analyzed to estimate the dielectric properties of the surface layer, and results favor the interpretation that no ice is present in the area.

1. Introduction

Eastern Elysium Planitia, Mars, encompasses Cerberus Palus, located between 144.5°E and 152.5°E, and between 1°N and 8.9°N, where the Mars Express High Resolution Stereo Camera acquired images of surfaces with platy textures that were interpreted as evidence for a frozen sea close to Mars' equator [1]. Other studies of the Martian topography and images have focused on these platy textures that are at scales as large as hundreds of meters to kilometers, interpreting them as being resultant of lava-flows, mud-flows, or ice-flows [2], with both lava and water erupting from Cerberus Fossae and reaching Cerberus Palus through Athabasca Valles. MARSIS [4] and SHARAD [5] are synthetic-aperture, orbital sounding radars, carried respectively by ESA's Mars Express and NASA's Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter. They work by transmitting a low-frequency radar pulse that is capable of penetrating below the surface, and is reflected by any dielectric discontinuity present in the subsurface. Whereas MARSIS is optimized for deep penetration, SHARAD is capable of a tenfold-finer vertical resolution, namely 15 m or less, depending on the dielectric constant of the material being sounded.

2. MARSIS and SHARAD data

In spite of the extensive coverage, no subsurface interfaces could be clearly seen by MARSIS, although there is a very weak, shallow, discontinuous subsurface echo in the area around 152 E, 6 N. Although somewhat puzzling, this is perhaps due to the relatively fine subsurface layering hypothesized for this area [3], which would be below the vertical resolution of MARSIS. Approximately 130 observations have been successfully acquired by SHARAD so far, but the coverage is still far from being complete. Very often, one or more subsurface reflections can be seen, sometimes continuing for more than 100 km. The time delay of subsurface reflections corresponds to depths ranging from ≈50 m to ≈150 m, depending also on the unknown dielectric permittivity of the surface material.

3. Modeling surface and subsurface reflections

We have mapped surface and subsurface reflection strengths, as well as time delay between surface and subsurface echoes, over the putative frozen sea, and we have applied a simplified model of radar signal propagation to estimate the dielectric properties of the surface material. The propagation model is taken from [6], and requires the assumptions that surface and subsurface echoes are specular reflections from plane parallel layers, and that dielectric properties are uniform within the layers. Topographic roughness computed in [7] from MOLA data is very low in this area, so that the surface can indeed be assumed to be mirror-like.

Values for the real dielectric permittivity ε of ices and rocks are comprised between 3 and 9, while values for the loss tangent $\tan\delta$ (the ratio between the imaginary and real part of the complex dielectric constant, a measure of signal attenuation within the medium) span across order of magnitudes, depending on the material:

Figure 1: Histogram of estimated values of the loss tangent over Cerberus Palus. It can be seen that most values are > 0.1, and thus incompatible with a surface made of either pure or dirty ice. Low values are affected by an error which is equal or greater than their magnitude, and thus cannot be used to infer the nature of the surface material.

as a rule of thumb, they are $\approx 10^{-4}$ for pure water ice at low temperature, $\approx 10^{-3}$ for dirty ice or very porous rocks, and 10^{-2} to 10^{-1} for dense rocks. Unfortunately, a value for $\tan\delta$ can be computed only if the real dielectric permittivity ε of both surface and subsurface can be estimated, which is not possible from SHARAD data alone. Because of the limited range of variation for these parameters, however, we estimate that the error affecting $\tan\delta$ due to lack of knowledge of the values of ε is at most ≈ 0.05. Such error is well above the values of $\tan\delta$ for ice, but high values of $\tan\delta$ can be safely interpreted as due to the presence of rock instead of ice as surface material. Results are shown in Fig. 1.

4. Summary and conclusions

The area of Cerberus Palus has been explored, but not fully covered, by both MARSIS and SHARAD. MARSIS has not clearly detected any subsurface interface, perhaps because of the relatively fine subsurface layering hypothesized for this area. SHARAD sees subsurface reflections over most of the area, with varying depths and strengths. Low-loss surface material in Cerberus Palus is found only in small patches over the putative frozen sea. The largest of these areas is centered around 152°E, 5°N. No conclusions can be drawn on the nature of this low-loss surface material

due to the relatively large error on the estimate. However, high losses are seen throughout the vast majority of the area: the hypothesis of a frozen sea several tens of meters thick covering the entire area is incompatible with these results.

References

[1] J. B. Murray, J.-P. Muller, G. Neukum, S. C. Werner, S. van Gasselt, E. Hauber, W. J. Markiewicz, J. W. Head, B. H. Foing, D. Page, K. L. Mitchell, G. Portyankina, and the HRSC Co-Investigator Team: Evidence from the Mars Express High Resolution Stereo Camera for a frozen sea close to Mars' equator, Nature, Vol. 434, pp. 352-356, 2005.

[2] R. M. Williams, and M. C. Malin: Evidence for late stage fluvial activity in Kasei Valles, Mars, J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 109, CiteID E06001, 2004.

[3] W. K. Hartmann, and D. C. Berman: Elysium Planitia lava flows: Crater count chronology and geological implications, J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 105, pp. 15011-15026, 2000.

[4] R. Seu, R. J. Phillips, G. Alberti, D. Biccari, F. Bonaventura, M. Bortone, et al.: Accumulation and Erosion of Mars' South Polar Layered Deposits, Science, Vol. 317, pp. 1715-1718, 2007.

[5] G. Picardi, J. J. Plaut, D. Biccari, O. Bombaci, D. Calabrese, M. Cartacci, et al.: Radar Soundings of the Subsurface of Mars, Science, Vol. 310, pp. 1925-1928, 2005.

[6] L. J. Porcello, R. L. Jordan, J. S. Zelenka, G. F. Adams, R. J. Phillips, W. E. Brown, et al.: The Apollo lunar sounder radar system, Proc. IEEE, Vol. 62, pp. 769-783, 1974.

[7] M. A. Kreslavsky, and J. W. Head: Kilometer-scale roughness of Mars: Results from MOLA data analysis, J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 105, pp. 26695-26712, 2000.