EPSC Abstracts
Vol. 7 EPSC2012-851-4 2012
European Planetary Science Congress 2012
© Author(s) 2012



Tidal dissipation in the Moon. Learning from the "incorrect" frequency dependence measured by the LLR

M. Efroimsky¹

(1) US Naval Observatory, Washington DC 20392 USA (michael.efroimsky @ usno.navy.mil)

Abstract

It was demonstrated back in 2001 that fitting of the LLR data results in the quality factor Q of the Moon scaling as the frequency χ to a negative power [8]:

$$Q \sim \chi^p$$
, where $p = -0.19$. (1)

At the same time, numerous measurements by various seismological teams agree on the exponent being positive, not negative [4]. The positive sign of the exponent stems also from geodetic measurements [1], and it finds its explanation within the theory of friction in minerals [5]. On all these grounds, the aforementioned finding by the LLR team appears to be implausible and to disagree with the conventional wisdom of solid state mechanics and seismology.

A later reexamination in [9] rendered a less upsetting value, p=-0.09, which was still negative and still seemed to contradict our knowledge of microphysical processes in solids. The authors later wrote [10]:

"There is a weak dependence of tidal specific dissipation Q on period. The Q increases from ~ 30 at a month to ~ 35 at one year. Q for rock is expected to have a weak dependence on tidal period, but it is expected to decrease with period rather than increase. The frequency dependence of Q deserves further attention and should be improved."

A possible explanation of this paradox comes from the observation that the LLR measurements provided information on the *tidal* and not seismic dissipation. The difference between these two processes comes from self-gravitation of the celestial body. To address the problem accurately, one has to calculate the tidal factors $k_l \sin \epsilon_l$ showing up in the Darwin-Kaula expansion for the tidal torque or force. Here k_l is the degree-l Love number, while ϵ_l is the appropriate tidal lag. Sometimes $\sin \epsilon_l$ is denoted with 1/Q, which is not recommended, because this notation does

not distinguish between the tidal reaction appropriate to harmonics of different degree. This notation also puts one at risk of confusing the tidal damping with the seismic damping, two process that have much in common but are nevertheless different [2, 3]. The factors $k_l \sin \epsilon_l$ are functions of the tidal modes ω_{lmpq} , where lmpq are integers used to number the modes. (The tidal modes can be either positive or negative, while the appropriate tidal forcing frequencies in the mantle, $\chi_{lmpq} = |\omega_{lmpq}|$, are positively defined.) So the lmpq term in the expansion of tide is proportional to $k_l(\omega_{lmpq}) \sin \epsilon_l(\omega_{lmpq})$.

An accurate calculation demonstrates that for realistic rheologies the tidal factors $k_l \sin \epsilon_l$ have a maximum at a frequency, which is (for not too large bodies) about the inverse Maxwell time [2, 3]. In the zero-frequency limit, the factors go smoothly through nil and change their sign, a natural behaviour saving the theory from an infinite torque or force at a resonance crossing.

As the small negative exponent was derived from LLR observations over periods of a month to a year, we see that the appropriate frequencies were close to or slightly below the frequency at which the factor $k_2 \sin \epsilon_2$ has its peak. Taken that the said frequency is not very different from the inverse Maxwell time, we estimate the typical viscosity η of the Lunar mantle as²

$$\eta = 3 \times 10^{15} \, \text{Pa s} \ .$$
 (2)

Such a low viscosity may indicate that the lower lunar mantle contains a high percentage of partial melt. This interpretation goes along with the model developed in [6] and advocated later in [8] and [10]. It also agrees with the recent model offered in [7].

 $^{^2}$ Mind a misprint in the value of $\,\eta\,$ provided in our papers [2] and [3].

References

- [1] Benjamin, D.; Wahr, J.; Ray, R.D.; Egbert, G.D.; & Desai, S.D. 2006. "Constraints on mantle anelasticity from geodetic observations, and implications for the J_2 anomaly." *Geophysical J. International*, **165**, 3-16.
- [2] Efroimsky, M.: Bodily tides near spin-orbit resonances. Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy, Vol. 112, pp. 283-330. 2012.
- [3] Efroimsky, M.: Tidal Dissipation Compared to Seismic Dissipation: In Small Bodies, Earths, and Super-Earths. *The Astrophysical Journal*, Vol. 746, article id. 150. 2012.
- [4] Efroimsky, M., and Lainey, V.: Physics of bodily tides in terrestrial planets and the appropriate scales of dynamical evolution. *Journal of Geophysical Research – Plan*ets, Vol. 112, article id. E12003. 2007.
- [5] Karato, S.-i., and Spetzler, H. A. 1990. "Defect Microdynamics in Minerals and Solid-State Mechanisms of Seismic Wave Attenuation and Velocity Dispersion in the Mantle." *Rev. Geophys.*, 28, pp. 399 423.
- [6] Nakamura, Y.; Latham, G.; Lammlein, D.; Ewing, M.; Duennebier, F.; and Dorman, J. 1974. "Deep lunar interior inferred from recent seismic data." *Geophysical Research Letters*, Vol. 1, pp. 137 - 140.
- [7] Weber, R. C.; Lin, Pei-Ying; Garnero, E.; Williams, Q.; and Lognonné, P. 2011. "Seismic Detection of the Lunar Core." *Science*, Vol. 331, Issue 6015, pp. 309 - 312.
- [8] Williams, J. G., Boggs, D. H., Yoder, C. F., Ratcliff, J. T., and Dickey, J. O. 2001. "Lunar rotational dissipation in solid-body and molten core." *The Journal of Geophysical Research Planets*, Vol. 106, No E11, pp. 27933 27968. doi:10.1029/2000JE001396
- [9] Williams, J. G., Boggs, D. H., and Ratcliff, J. T. 2008. "Lunar Tides, Fluid Core and Core/Mantle Boundary." The 39th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, (Lunar and Planetary Science XXXIX), held on 10-14 March 2008 in League City, TX. LPI Contribution No. 1391., p. 1484. http://www.lpi.usra.edu/meetings/lpsc2008/pdf/1484.pdf
- [10] Williams, J. G., and Boggs, D. H. 2009. "Lunar Core and Mantle. What Does LLR See?" Proceedings of the 16th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, held on 12-17 October 2008 in Poznan, Poland. Edited by S. Schilliak. pp. 101 - 120.
 - $http://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/lw16/docs/papers/sci_1_Williams_p.pdf \\ http://cddis.gsfc.nasa.gov/lw16/docs/papers/proceedings_vol2.pdf$