EPSC
European Planetary Science Congress

On Mars' atmospheric sputtering after MAVEN first two years

Leblanc F. (1), Modolo R. (2), Curry S. (3), Luhmann J. (3), Lillis R. (3), Chaufray J.Y. (1), Hara T. (3), McFadden J. (3), Halekas J. (4), Eparvier F. (5), Larson D. (3), Connerney J. (6) and B. Jakosky (5)
(1) LATMOS/IPSL, UPMC Univ. Paris 06 Sorbonne Universités, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France, (2) LATMOS/IPSL, UVSQ Université Paris-Saclay, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, CNRS, Guyancourt, France, (3) UC Berkeley Space Sciences Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA, (4) University of Iowa, Department of Physics and Astronomy, IA, USA, (5) Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA, (6) NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, MD, USA (francois.leblanc@latmos.ipsl.fr, Tel: +33 (0)144273753)

Abstract

Mars may have lost a significant part of its atmosphere into space along its history, in particular since the end of its internal dynamo, 4.1 Gyr ago. The sputtering of the atmosphere by precipitating planetary picked up ions accelerated by the solar wind is one of the processes that could have significantly contributed to this atmospheric escape. We here present a two years base analysis of MAVEN observation of the precipitating flux, in particular the dependency of the precipitating intensity with solar zenith angle and used this measurement to model the expected escape rate and exosphere induced by this precipitation.

1. MAVEN measurements

In order to reconstruct the flux of precipitating picked-up ion measured by MAVEN, we used the same approach as in [1], that is, we used all available measurements of the ion mass and energy distributions realized between 200 and 350 km in altitude by SWIA (cs product) and STATIC (ca and d0 products). We selected the anodes covering the 75° cone angle oriented along the zenith direction and reconstructed the energy distribution. Figure 1 displays the average precipitating flux measured by MAVEN SWIA and STATIC during the first two years of MAVEN operations (between 12/02/2014 and 10/24/2016).


Figure 1: Differential particle flux of the precipitating pick-up ion as measured by MAVEN between 200 and 350 km in altitude during the 12/2014-10/2016 period. Red line: SWIA cs, blue line: STATIC ca, green line: STATIC d0 15-17 amu mass range, black line: theoretical predicted flux (Wang et al. 2015).

As shown in Figure 1, there is globally a good agreement between the measured flux by SWIA and STATIC, knowing that SWIA field of view allows a better coverage of the cone angle pointing towards the zenith than STATIC. Moreover, the comparison between STATIC d0 product for masses between 15 and 17 amu and SWIA cs suggests that most of the precipitating ion measured by SWIA with energy larger than few tens of eV are O^+ ions. Compared to the predicted energy flux distribution [2], Figure 1 highlighted the good agreement between prediction and measurements.

Figure 2: Integrated precipitating flux measured by MAVEN (SWIA cs) during the 12/2014-10/2016 period plotted vs MSO latitude and longitude. The subsolar point is at 0°,0°.

In Figure 2, we plotted the integrated flux in a MSO longitude/latitude frame. This shows that the precipitating flux is organized between day and night sides with a 1.7 times higher intensity of the dayside precipitating flux.

2. Induced atmospheric escape

Reconstructing the precipitating flux between day and night sides, we simulated the fate of precipitating pick-up O^+ ions into Mars' atmosphere using Exospheric Global Model (EGM) [3] coupled to LMD-GCM [4] in order to calculate the induced exosphere and atmospheric escape by the precipitating ion (Figure 1, red line). EGM is a multispecies parallelized 3D model describing, for any season and solar conditions, how energetic ion will interact with Mars' upper atmosphere (composed of CO_2, CO, O, N and N_2) by transferring energy and momentum to the atom and molecule and ejecting atmospheric species into the exosphere. To describe the collision, we used at low energy the universal collision scheme of [5] and at high energy molecular dynamic scheme [6] in order to take into account the possibility of molecular dissociation.

3. Conclusion

Based on the average measured precipitating flux during the first two years of MAVEN observations, and using a model to determine the potentially induced atmospheric escape, we concluded that 5×10^{23} O/s, 8×10^{22} CO_2/s, 4×10^{22} CO/s, 1×10^{23} C/s, 1.6×10^{24} N/s and 4×10^{23} N_2/s should have escaped Mars' atmosphere between December 2014 and October 2016.

References

[1] Leblanc F., R. Modolo, S. Curry, J. Luhmann, R. Lillis, J. Y. Chaufray, T. Hara, J. McFadden, J. Halekas, F. Eparvier (2015), et al., Mars heavy ion precipitating flux as measured by Mars Atmosphere and Volatile EvolutioN, Geophys. Res. Lett., 42, doi:10.1002/2015GL066170.

[2] Wang, Y.-C., J. G. Luhmann, X. Fang, F. Leblanc, R. E. Johnson, Y. Ma, and W.-H. Ip (2015), Statistical studies on Mars atmospheric sputtering by precipitating pickup O+: Preparation for the MAVEN mission, *J. Geophys. Res. Planets*, *120*, 34–50, doi:10.1002/2014JE004660.

[3] Leblanc F., Modolo R., Chaufray J.Y. , Leclercq L., Curry S., Luhmann J., Lillis R., Hara T., McFadden J., Halekas J., Schneider N., Deighan J. and B. Jakosky, Mars' exosphere observation and modelling: HELIOSARES view of MAVEN's measurements, J. Geophys. Res., Submitted, 2017.

[4] Chaufray J-Y, Gonzalez-Galindo F., Forget, F., Lopez-Valverde M., Leblanc F., Modolo, R., Hess, S., M. Yagi, Blelly, P-L., Witasse, O. (2014), 3D Martian Ionosphere model : II Effect of transport processes without magnetic field, Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets, 119 (7), 1614-1636. doi: 10.1002/2013JE004551

[5] Lewkow N.R. and V. Kharhenko (2014), Precipitation of energetic neutral atoms and induced non-thermal escape fluxes from the Martian atmosphere, ApJ, 790, doi:10.1088/0004-637X/790/2/98.

[6] Leblanc, F., Johnson, R.E., (2002), Role of molecular species in pickup ion sputtering of the Martian atmosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research 107.