

# Simulation of CO and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Mars

**Frank Daerden** (1), Lori Neary (1), Sébastien Viscardy (1), Antonio García Muñoz (2), R. Todd Clancy (3), Michael D. Smith (4) and Thérèse Encrenaz (5)

(1) Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy BIRA-IASB, Brussels, Belgium, (2) Zentrum für Astronomie und Astrophysik, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany, (3) Space Science Institute, Boulder, Colorado, USA, (4) NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA, (5) LESIA, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, UPMC, UPD, Meudon, France ([Frank.Daerden@aeronomie.be](mailto:Frank.Daerden@aeronomie.be))

## Abstract

We present new results of the GEM-Mars General Circulation Model with interactive atmospheric chemistry [1]. The simulation of the vertically integrated column of carbon monoxide (CO) is compared to the most complete dataset to date, obtained from CRISM [2]. The general trends in the data are reproduced by the model while differences expose possible issues with atmospheric mixing in the model. The simulation of the vertically integrated column of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is compared to the complete set of available data [3] and provides an exceptionally good result, which illustrates that the water cycle and the Mars photochemistry are well implemented in the model. The abstract is accompanied by one that presents results for ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and oxygen dayglow (O<sub>2</sub>(a<sup>1</sup>Δ<sub>g</sub>)) (Neary et al., this session), and another one on the simulation of semiheavy water (HDO) (Daerden et al., ExoMars session).

## 1. Introduction

The atmospheric chemistry on Mars is dominated by CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O and their photolysis products. The interaction between these products has been understood to be crucial in the stabilization of the Martian atmosphere [e.g. 4]. In this photochemical cycle, only a few species have been observed, and only for some of those, the spatiotemporal coverage is dense enough to confine seasonal trends: CO [e.g. 2], H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [e.g. 3], O<sub>3</sub> [e.g. 5], and O<sub>2</sub>(a<sup>1</sup>Δ<sub>g</sub>) airglow (e.g. [6]). These datasets provide important constraints for global model simulations of atmospheric chemistry.

## 2. Simulations

For the simulation of gas enrichment upon condensation of CO<sub>2</sub>, a parameterization was developed that calculates the local enrichment factor

from the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> ice condensing/evaporating. This led to a simulation of the polar argon enhancement that was in agreement with other models [1, 2]. The resulting seasonal trend of the vertically integrated abundance of CO was compared to the latest dataset retrieved from CRISM observations, comprising 5 Mars years of data [2]. The most important features are reproduced although some model-data differences remain, providing insight in the accuracy of model parameterizations.

The GEM-Mars chemistry was updated from previous versions, e.g. by including improved cross-sections for CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, especially in the 190 nm region, and by improving the calculation of photolysis rates taking into account the true direction of the sun. This led to a much improved simulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, that is now consistent with all observational data (except for a few outliers).

## Acknowledgements

Part of the research was performed as part of the “Excellence of Science” project “Evolution and Tracers of Habitability on Mars and the Earth” (FNRS 30442502).

## References

- [1] Neary, L., and F. Daerden (2018), Icarus, 300, 458–476, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.09.028>
- [2] Smith, M., F. Daerden, L. Neary and S. Khayat (2018), Icarus, 301, 117–131, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2017.09.027>
- [3] Encrenaz, T., et al. (2015), Astron. Astrophys. 578, A127, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201425448.
- [4] Lefèvre, F., et al. (2004), J. Geophys. Res., 109, E07004, doi:10.1029/2004JE002268.
- [5] Clancy, R. T., et al. (2016), Icarus, 266, 112–133, doi:10.1016/j.icarus.2015.11.016
- [6] Guslyakova, S., et al. (2016), Planet. Space Sci. 122, 1–12, doi:10.1016/j.pss.2015.12.006.