

What do (Commons-compliant) Researchers really want?

Fiona Murphy (1) and Maryann Martone (2)

(1) MMC Ltd, Orcid 0000-0003-1693-1240, (2) UCSD, Orcid 0000-0002-8406-3871

The Scholarly Commons Decision Trees Working Group has concentrated on the following actions/outputs: developing decision trees to support commons-compliant research processes and outputs, commissioning and documenting the workflows to build the trees, and documenting the experience of working in a commons-compliant way.

Following on from our first session ‘Mind the Gap’, which highlights the potential power of this approach and also assesses the ‘broken’ nature of the infrastructure with which we are currently working, this highly interactive session will bring together representatives or practitioners from several key Open Science platforms, such as Center for Open Science, ORCID and Zenodo. We will provide a set of key questions that have arisen from the Decision Tree group, e.g., should the Commons have single sign on; why is it still so hard to add citations to scholarly objects; why aren’t scholarly “self-citable”; how do handle identifiers for all the key units of research, e.g., working groups. We will engage participants to lay the groundwork for a vision for the potentially transformative landscape that a joined-up, interoperable infrastructure would represent, and to work out how to join up the gaps highlighted in the previous session, thereby increasing the potential power and value proposition for practicing Open Science within the Scholarly Commons.