



## Physical water scarcity metrics for monitoring progress towards SDG target 6.4: An evaluation of indicator 6.4.2 “Level of water stress”

Davy Vanham (1), Arjen Y Hoekstra (2,3), Yoshihide Wada (4,5), Faycal Bouraoui (1), Ad de Roo (1), Mesfin M Mekonnen (6), Wouter van de Bund (1), Okke Batelaan (7), Paul Pavelic (8), Wim Bastiaanssen (9,10), Matti Kummu (11), Johan Rockström (12), Junguo Liu (4,13), Berny Bisselink (1), Paolo Ronco (1), Alberto Pistocchi (1), and Giovanni Bidoglio (1)

(1) European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate for Sustainable Resources, Ispra (VA), Italy (davy.vanham@ec.europa.eu), (2) Twente Water Centre, University of Twente, P.O. Box 217, Enschede, Netherlands, (3) Institute of Water Policy, Lee Kuan Yew School of Public Policy, National University of Singapore, Singapore, (4) International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria, (5) Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands, (6) Robert B. Daugherty Water for Food Global Institute, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, United States, (7) Flinders University of South Australia, National Centre for Groundwater Research and Training, College of Science and Engineering, Adelaide, Australia, (8) International Water Management Institute, Vientiane, Lao People's Democratic Republic, (9) Delft University of Technology, Stevinweg 1, 2600, GA, Delft, Netherlands, (10) UNESCO-IHE, Institute for Water Education, Westvest 7, 2611, AX, Delft, Netherlands, (11) Aalto University, Water and Development Research Group, Espoo, Finland, (12) Stockholm Resilience Centre, Stockholm University, Kräftriket 2b, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden, (13) School of Environmental Science and Engineering, South University of Science and Technology of China, Shenzhen, 518055, China

Target 6.4 of the recently adopted Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) deals with the reduction of water scarcity. To monitor progress towards this target, two indicators are used: Indicator 6.4.1 measuring water use efficiency and 6.4.2 measuring the level of water stress (WS). This paper aims to identify whether the currently proposed indicator 6.4.2 considers the different elements that need to be accounted for in a WS indicator. WS indicators compare water use with water availability. We identify seven essential elements: 1) both gross and net water abstraction (or withdrawal) provide important information to understand WS; 2) WS indicators need to incorporate environmental flow requirements (EFR); 3) temporal and 4) spatial disaggregation is required in a WS assessment; 5) both renewable surface water and groundwater resources, including their interaction, need to be accounted for as renewable water availability; 6) alternative available water resources need to be accounted for as well, like fossil groundwater and desalinated water; 7) WS indicators need to account for water storage in reservoirs, water recycling and managed aquifer recharge. Indicator 6.4.2 considers many of these elements, but there is need for improvement. It is recommended that WS is measured based on net abstraction as well, in addition to currently only measuring WS based on gross abstraction. It does incorporate EFR. Temporal and spatial disaggregation is indeed defined as a goal in more advanced monitoring levels, in which it is also called for a differentiation between surface and groundwater resources. However, regarding element 6 and 7 there are some shortcomings for which we provide recommendations. In addition, indicator 6.4.2 is only one indicator, which monitors blue WS, but does not give information on green or green-blue water scarcity or on water quality. Within the SDG indicator framework, some of these topics are covered with other indicators.

This paper will be published in the February 2018 edition of the journal "Science of the Total Environment" - <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.056> . It is of great importance for SDG 6, and was communicated recently by the JRC and FAO (<https://twitter.com/FAOQUASTAT/status/909778728171065346>).

This work was partially developed within the framework of the Panta Rhei Research Initiative of the International Association of Hydrological Sciences (IAHS).